

Commission on Judicial Performance

The Honorable Robert H. Russell, II

2006 Judicial Performance Survey 18th Judicial District





April 26, 2006

The Honorable Robert H. Russell, II Arapahoe County Justice Center 7325 S. Potomac St. Centennial, CO 80112

Dear Judge Russell:

Every two years the Colorado Judicial Performance Commission conducts a survey of people who have been in the courtroom of judges who face a retention vote in the next general election. The 2006 survey was conducted by Talmey-Drake Research & Strategy, Inc., who attempted to contact over 75,000 people who had been in these courtrooms in one capacity or another. Over 21,000 people responded—4,600 to the attorney survey and 17,000 to the non-attorney survey.

This report contains the results of those who were in your courtroom and who responded to the survey. In addition to this introduction, the report is divided into four main sections:

- Attorney Results & Comments: This section contains graphs displaying the average grade you received overall (the combined average from questions 1a through 5e), each section and each question. Following the graphs, are a series of tables showing the percentage distribution of responses to each question. Attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. These comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the attorney questionnaire is at the back of this report.
- Non-attorney Results & Comments: Similar to the Attorney section, this portion of the report contains graphs (again including the overall average of questions 1a through 5c on the non-attorney questionnaire), the percentage distribution of responses by non-attorneys to each substantive question in the survey. The non-attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. Again, these comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the non-attorney questionnaire is also at the back of this report.
- **Methodology:** The third section of the report discusses the methodology of the survey.
- **Questionnaires:** And the final section provides copies of the questionnaires that were used.



April, 26 2006 The Honorable Robert H. Russell, II Page 2





If you have any questions about the methodology and how the survey was conducted, please feel free to contact me at 303-443-5300, and for any other questions you might have about the survey please call the Director of the Colorado Judicial Performance Program, Jane Howell, at 303-837-3665.


Best regards,


Paul A. Talmey President


enc:


























1a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Promptly making rulings during trial?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	67%	59%	56%
В	18%	20%	21%
C	5%	5%	5%
D	1%	1%	1%
Fail	3%	1%	1%
No Grade	5%	14%	17%
Average	3.5	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

1b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Beginning court on time?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	40%	61%	56%
В	32%	26%	28%
C	20%	7%	9%
D	4%	2%	3%
Fail	1%	1%	2%
No Grade	3%	3%	2%
Average	3.1	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

1c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Allotting appropriate amount of time for cases?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
A	48%	51%	51%
В	28%	28%	29%
C	20%	12%	11%
D	1%	4%	3%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	1%	4%	4%
Average	3.2	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	В	В	В

1d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Keeping cases moving according to schedule?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	40%	51%	53%
В	28%	30%	31%
C	24%	9%	9%
D	4%	3%	3%
Fail	3%	2%	2%
No Grade	1%	4%	3%
Average	3.0	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade		В	В

1e. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "[Civil only] Assisting parties to reach agreement?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	43%	27%	27%
В	22%	20%	18%
C	11%	9%	7%
D	5%	3%	2%
Fail		2%	1%
No Grade	20%	40%	43%
Average	3.3	3.1	3.2
Letter Grade	В	В	В

1f. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	72%	65%	63%
В	19%	23%	25%
C	4%	7%	7%
D	1%	3%	2%
Fail	3%	1%	1%
No Grade	1%	2%	2%
Average	3.6	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

1g. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Promptly ruling on pre-trial motions?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	41%	46%	44%
В	26%	26%	25%
C	9%	10%	8%
D	3%	3%	2%
Fail	4%	2%	1%
No Grade	18%	13%	19%
Average	3.2	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	В	В	В

Attorney Survey

1h. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Promptly issuing a decision on the case after trial?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	57%	48%	48%
В	22%	21%	21%
C	5%	6%	5%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail	3%	1%	1%
No Grade	12%	21%	24%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

1i. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Setting reasonable schedules for cases?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	46%	49%	50%
В	31%	30%	30%
C	14%	11%	9%
D	4%	4%	3%
Fail	1%	2%	1%
No Grade	4%	5%	6%
Average	3.2	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	В	В	В

2a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Basing decisions on evidence and arguments?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	59%	56%	53%
В	23%	25%	27%
C	5%	9%	9%
D	5%	4%	4%
Fail	3%	2%	3%
No Grade	5%	3%	4%
Average	3.4	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

2b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Giving reasons for rulings?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	56%	60%	57%
В	25%	24%	25%
C	11%	8%	9%
D	4%	3%	4%
Fail	1%	1%	2%
No Grade	3%	3%	4%
•	2.2	2.4	2.4
Average	3.3	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

2c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "[Civil only] Issuing written findings of fact and law?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	38%	37%	28%
В	17%	17%	15%
C	9%	6%	6%
D	5%	3%	2%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade	32%	35%	48%
Average	3.3	3.3	3.2
Letter Grade	В	В	В

2d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Willing to reconsider error in fact or law?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	25%	28%	29%
В	22%	21%	20%
C	7%	10%	9%
D	1%	5%	4%
Fail	3%	4%	4%
No Grade	42%	33%	33%
Average	3.1	3.0	3.0
Letter Grade	В	В	В

2e. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Having knowledge of relevant substantive law?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	66%	55%	52%
В	22%	28%	30%
C	5%	10%	9%
D	3%	4%	4%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	3%	2%	3%
Average	3.5	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

2f. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Having knowledge of rules of procedure and evidence?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	72%	61%	58%
В	22%	26%	27%
C	3%	7%	8%
D		2%	3%
Fail	3%	1%	2%
No Grade		2%	3%
Average	3.6	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2g. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	67%	61%	57%
В	19%	23%	27%
C	7%	8%	8%
D		4%	3%
Fail	3%	2%	2%
No Grade	4%	3%	3%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2h. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "[Criminal only] Issuing consistent sentences when the circumstances are similar?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	26%	26%	33%
В	7%	16%	20%
C	2%	6%	6%
D		2%	2%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade	65%	49%	37%
Average	3.7	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	В	В

Attorney Survey

2i. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Willing to make decision without regard to possible criticism?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	61%	55%	53%
В	19%	21%	22%
C	5%	8%	8%
D	1%	3%	3%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	12%	12%	12%
Average	3.6	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

2j. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Making decisions without fear of being appealed?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	57%	54%
В	9%	20%	22%
C	4%	6%	7%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	1%	2%
No Grade	16%	13%	14%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	Α-	B+	B+

3a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Speaking clearly?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	79%	75%	73%
В	15%	19%	20%
C	4%	4%	4%
D		1%	1%
Fail	1%		1%
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

Attorney Survey

3b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Making sure all participants understand the proceedings?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	76%	72%	71%
В	15%	20%	20%
C	7%	5%	5%
D		2%	2%
Fail	1%	1%	1%
No Grade	1%	2%	1%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

3c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Using understandable language?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	81%	75%	74%
В	13%	20%	20%
C	3%	3%	4%
D	•••	1%	1%
Fail	1%		
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-	A-

Attorney Survey

3d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Providing written communications that are clear, thorough and well reasoned?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	44%	55%	55%
В	19%	23%	23%
C	9%	6%	6%
D	6%	3%	3%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	22%	12%	12%
Average	3.3	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

4a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Giving proceedings a sense of dignity?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	85%	73%	69%
В	4%	18%	20%
C	7%	5%	6%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	1%	2%
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

4b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Treating everyone involved politely?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	84%	72%	70%
В	8%	16%	17%
C	3%	5%	6%
D	3%	3%	3%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

4c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Having empathy with the parties involved?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	71%	59%	59%
В	16%	20%	22%
C	8%	10%	9%
D	3%	5%	5%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	1%	4%	3%
Average	3.5	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

4d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Treating participants with respect?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	. 82%	71%	69%
В	. 8%	16%	18%
C	. 7%	6%	6%
D		3%	3%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	. 1%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

4e. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Presenting a neutral presence on the bench?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	66%	63%
В	12%	18%	19%
C	4%	7%	8%
D	1%	5%	5%
Fail	4%	3%	4%
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.6	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

4f. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Being consistent in [his/her] behavior?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
A	80%	67%	64%
В	9%	20%	21%
C	5%	6%	7%
D		3%	3%
Fail	3%	2%	2%
No Grade	3%	3%	2%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

4g. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	79%	65%	63%
В	12%	18%	19%
C	3%	8%	8%
D	1%	4%	4%
Fail	4%	3%	3%
No Grade	1%	2%	1%
Average	3.6	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

4h. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Giving all participants an opportunity to be heard?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	80%	71%	69%
В	12%	18%	19%
C	5%	6%	6%
D		3%	2%
Fail	1%	1%	2%
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

4i. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Consistently applying laws and rules?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	61%	59%
В	23%	21%	23%
C	4%	8%	8%
D	4%	4%	4%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	4%	5%	4%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

4j. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Not engaging in ex parte communications?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	66%	63%
В	11%	13%	15%
C		3%	3%
D	1%	1%	1%
Fail	1%	1%	1%
No Grade	14%	16%	16%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

4k. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Treating pro se parties fairly?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	60%	48%	52%
В	8%	11%	13%
C	3%	3%	4%
D	1%	1%	2%
Fail	1%	1%	1%
No Grade	26%	36%	28%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

5a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Making tough decisions when necessary?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	61%	57%	52%
В	18%	21%	23%
C	8%	8%	8%
D		3%	3%
Fail	3%	2%	2%
No Grade	11%	10%	12%
•	2.5	2.4	2.4
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

5b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Using good judgment in application of relevant law and rules?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	55%	53%
В	21%	26%	27%
C	8%	9%	9%
D	1%	4%	4%
Fail	3%	3%	3%
No Grade	3%	3%	4%
Average	3.5	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

5c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Doing the necessary 'homework' and being prepared for [his/her] cases?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	52%	59%	53%
В	27%	23%	26%
C	7%	8%	9%
D	5%	3%	3%
Fail	3%	1%	2%
No Grade	7%	5%	7%
Average	3.3	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

5d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Being willing to handle cases on the docket even when they are complicated and time consuming?"

_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	62%	58%	53%
В	18%	19%	21%
C	5%	7%	7%
D	4%	2%	2%
Fail	3%	1%	1%
No Grade	8%	13%	15%
Average	3.4	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

5e. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Providing prompt access to the court in emergency matters?"

	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	48%	43%	41%
В	11%	16%	16%
C	8%	5%	5%
D	5%	2%	1%
Fail	1%	1%	1%
No Grade	27%	33%	36%
Average	3.3	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

6. How biased do you think Judge Russell, II is towards the defense or the prosecution?

		Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
_1	5		3%	3%
Toward Prosecution ★	4		5%	5%
secuti	3		8%	7%
d Pro	2		11%	11%
owar	1		17%	18%
	0 Neutral	100%	46%	47%
Se	-1		5%	4%
Toward Defense	-2		3%	2%
ward	-3		2%	2%
β β	-4		1%	1%
IJ	-5			
	Average		0.8	0.8


7. How lenient or harsh do you think the sentences handed down by Judge Russell, II are?


	_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
رار.	5		2%	2%
Harsh $\dot{ m A}$	4		4%	4%
Ha	3		6%	5%
	2		9%	9%
	1	14%	16%	15%
	0 Appropriate	86%	48%	55%
	-1		6%	3%
	-2		4%	3%
jų į	-3		3%	2%
S Light	-4		1%	1%
IJ	-5		1%	1%
	Average	0.1	0.5	0.5


Attorney Survey


12. Do you recommend that Judge Russell, II be retained in office or not be retained in office?


_	Judge Russell, II	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	87%	78%	77%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	6%	13%	14%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office	3%	4%	4%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	4%	5%	5%























Non Attorney Survey

1a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Giving proceedings a sense of dignity?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	76%	69%
В	11%	16%	20%
C	7%	4%	6%
D		1%	2%
Fail	5%	2%	2%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.6	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

1b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Treating participants politely and with respect?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	78%	72%
В	16%	14%	17%
C	5%	4%	5%
D		2%	2%
Fail	7%	2%	3%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.5	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

1c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	75%	76%	70%
В	11%	14%	17%
C	7%	4%	5%
D		2%	3%
Fail	7%	3%	3%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.5	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

1d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Having a sense of compassion and human understanding for those who appear before the judge?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	71%	66%
В	18%	16%	18%
C	5%	4%	6%
D	2%	2%	3%
Fail	9%	4%	5%
No Grade	2%	2%	2%
Average	3.3	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Giving participants an opportunity to be heard?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	61%	77%	71%
В	18%	13%	16%
C	2%	4%	5%
D	5%	2%	3%
Fail	9%	3%	3%
No Grade	5%	2%	2%
Average	3.2	3.6	3.5
Average	3.2	0.0	3.3
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Treating those involved in the case without bias?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	75%	69%
В	14%	13%	16%
C		3%	5%
D	5%	2%	3%
Fail	7%	4%	4%
No Grade	7%	2%	3%
Average	3.4	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Treating fairly people who represent themselves?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	49%	47%	49%
В	16%	8%	11%
C		2%	4%
D	2%	1%	2%
Fail	9%	3%	4%
No Grade	23%	38%	30%
Average	3.2	3.6	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Giving each side enough time to present his or her case?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	63%	75%	68%
В	21%	13%	16%
C	5%	4%	5%
D		2%	2%
Fail	7%	3%	3%
No Grade	5%	4%	5%
Average	3.4	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

3a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Making sure participants understand the proceedings, and what's going on in the

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	58%	77%	73%
В	28%	14%	16%
C	2%	4%	5%
D	7%	2%	2%
Fail	5%	1%	2%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.3	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	В	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

3b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Using language that everyone can understand?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	78%	74%
В	18%	14%	18%
C	9%	4%	5%
D		1%	2%
Fail	5%	1%	1%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.5	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	B+	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

3c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Speaking clearly so everyone in the courtroom can hear what's being said?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	61%	79%	75%
В	25%	14%	16%
C	9%	4%	5%
D		1%	1%
Fail	5%	1%	1%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.4	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	B+	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Beginning court on time?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	45%	59%	55%
В	32%	26%	26%
C	9%	9%	10%
D	7%	3%	3%
Fail	7%	2%	3%
No Grade		2%	3%
Average	3.0	3.4	3.3
Letter Grade	В	B+	В

Non Attorney Survey

4b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	78%	72%
В	18%	16%	18%
C	2%	4%	5%
D	2%	1%	2%
Fail	2%	1%	1%
No Grade	2%	1%	2%
Average	3.6	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	B+	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Setting reasonable schedules for cases?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	34%	62%	58%
В	27%	18%	19%
C	11%	6%	6%
D	5%	2%	2%
Fail	7%	2%	2%
No Grade	16%	11%	12%
Average	2.9	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	В-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4d. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Being prepared for [his/her] cases?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	55%	73%	67%
В	27%	14%	17%
C		4%	5%
D	5%	1%	2%
Fail	9%	2%	2%
No Grade	5%	6%	7%
A	2.0	2.6	2.6
Average	3.2	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4e. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Managing court proceedings so that there is little wasted time?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	48%	63%	61%
В	30%	23%	23%
C	7%	7%	8%
D	7%	2%	3%
Fail	7%	2%	3%
No Grade	2%	3%	3%
Average	3.1	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

5a. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Giving reasons for rulings?"

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	39%	67%	62%
В	25%	16%	18%
C	9%	5%	6%
D	5%	2%	3%
Fail	7%	3%	4%
No Grade	16%	7%	7%
	0.0	0.5	0.4
Average	3.0	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

5b. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Willing to make decision without regard to possible outside pressure?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	58%	63%	58%
В	7%	12%	14%
C	2%	4%	5%
D	2%	2%	2%
Fail	9%	3%	3%
No Grade	21%	17%	17%
Average	3.3	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

5c. How would you grade Judge Russell, II on "Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts?"

	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	56%	69%	64%
В	12%	14%	16%
C	5%	4%	5%
D	5%	2%	3%
Fail	7%	3%	4%
No Grade	16%	8%	8%
Average	3.3	3.6	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

6. How biased do you think Judge Russell, II is towards the defense or the prosecution?

		Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
رار.	5		2%	2%
Toward Prosecution ★	4		1%	1%
secut	3		1%	2%
d Pro	2		2%	3%
owar	1	. 7%	4%	5%
	0 Neutral	79%	83%	78%
se	-1		3%	3%
Defen	-2		1%	2%
Toward Defense	-3		1%	1%
β β	-4		1%	1%
IJ	-5	. 14%	1%	2%
	Average	-0.6	0.1	0.1

Non Attorney Survey


7. How lenient or harsh do you think the sentences handed down by Judge Russell, II are?

		Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
رار.	5	7%	3%	3%
Harsh Ķ	4	7%	2%	2%
Ha	3		2%	2%
	2		2%	3%
	1		3%	4%
	0 Appropriate	71%	75%	71%
	-1	7%	5%	5%
	-2		4%	4%
벌	-3		2%	2%
U Light	-4	•	1%	1%
13	-5	7%	1%	2%
	Average	0.2	0.1	0.1

Non Attorney Survey

12. Do you recommend that Judge Russell, II be retained in office or not be retained in office?

_	Judge Russell, II	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	81%	86%	82%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	5%	7%	9%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office		2%	3%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	14%	5%	6%



<u>Methodology</u>

Sample:

The Colorado Judicial Department provided Talmey-Drake Research & Strategy. Inc. with all the names and addresses of people from its ICON data base who in the previous 12 months had likely been in each judge's courtroom who was up for retention in November 2006. These names and addresses where then supplemented from the Colorado District Attorney's database (Blackstone system) and the databases of jurors, court employees and sheriff's security.

The data was then combined and cleaned. Where there were more than 400 potential respondents, a random sample was drawn. Included in the data cleaning was the identification of potential respondents in the sample who had appeared in more than two judges' courtrooms. Due to concerns about respondent fatigue, no more than two questionnaires were intended to be sent to any one respondent—though there were a few instances where one respondent did receive more than two questionnaires. Where a person had been in more than two judges' courtrooms, the selection criteria for which judges he or she would be sent questionnaires was generally: first, for the judge in whose courtroom the potential respondent had been in most often, and two, the judge with the smallest sample of the judges in whose courtroom the potential respondent had been in.

Survey:

Starting in October 2005, each person in the sample database was mailed an initial questionnaire and an introductory letter with a postage-paid return envelope. Those who did not respond to the first questionnaire were then sent a sent a second questionnaire and letter, and in some cases reminder postcards. During this process, the sample was augmented for judges with a particularly low number of completed questionnaires.

Questionnaire: The questionnaire used in the 2006 Judicial Performance Survey asked respondents to use a grade of A, B, C, D, or F (Fail) to assess the judge's performance in five different areas. For attorney these areas were Case Management, Application and Knowledge of Law, Communications, Demeanor and Diligence, and for non-attorney respondents the five were Demeanor, Fairness, Communications, Diligence and Application of Law. These scores were then converted to a numerical value where A = 4, B = 3, C =2, D = 1 and Fail = 0. Copies of the questionnaires are included at the end of this report.

Response:

The response rate is calculated as the number of completed questionnaires divided by the number of eligible respondents who actually received a questionnaire. The following table shows the total number of questionnaires mailed, completed, non-responses & refusals, undeliverables and other responses. The table presents the overall response rate as well as the response rate by the different types of respondents.

Attorney Respondents	Total Sent	Completed	Refused/ Nonre- sponse	Unde- livered	<u>Other</u>	Response <u>Rate</u>
District Attorney	684	402	223	56	3	64.3%
Attorney For Defendant	5,159	2,760	1895	490	14	59.3%
Attorney for Plaintiff	1,925	1,023	689	206	7	59.8%
Unknown Attorney Type	963	436	418	107	2	51.1%
Attorney Total	8,731	4,621	3225	859	26	58.7%

Non-Attny Respondents	Total Sent	Completed	Refused/ Nonre- sponse	Unde- livered	<u>Other</u>	Response Rate
Law Enforcement	5,659	1,161	3,276	1,195	27	26.2%
Employee	1,307	600	489	205	13	55.1%
Defendant	31,391	3,658	17,494	10,124	115	17.3%
Plaintiff	7,688	1,449	4,699	1,506	34	23.6%
Juror	16,267	9,482	5,961	795	29	61.4%
Other	4,061	401	2,342	1,281	37	14.6%
Parole Officers	599	323	136	125	15	70.4%
Non-attorney Total	66,972	17,074	34,397	15,231	270	33.0%
Total	75,703	21,695	37,622	16,090	296	36.4%

A similar table showing the response count and cooperation rate for your survey is provided at the end of this methodology section.

Results:

The results of the Survey are in two main sections: Attorney Respondents and Non-attorney Respondents. Within each main section are three subsections graphs displaying average grades for each section and question, the percentage distribution of grades, and respondent comments.

Graphs: The graphs visually display the overall average numerical grades received for all grade questions, each section and each question compared to averages for all district or county judges, and all trial judges for which 2006 surveys were conducted. Questions relating to bias toward the defense or prosecution, sentencing and retention recommendation are also graphed.

Percentage Distribution of Grades: This section shows the percentage of each grade received on each question plus the bias, sentencing and retention questions. An average grade point for each graded question is computed and shown in the results section. A letter grade is also assigned to each grade point according to the following scale.

This section also includes two comparative columns of results: one comparative column shows the results for that question for all county or district judges (as appropriate) surveyed in 2006, along with a second comparative column showing the results for all trial judges in the 2006 survey.

Comments: Each respondent was encouraged to comment on the judge's performance. This section contains those comments.

Sampling Error:

All sample surveys are subject to what is known as sampling error—the extent to which the results of the sample survey may differ from what would be obtained if the entire population being surveyed had been interviewed. The size of the sampling error is almost entirely due to the number of people interviewed for the survey and the variance of responses.

The table below shows the extent of sampling error (95%-confidence interval) for various sizes of random samples and sample percentage results.

		Sample Size						
	<u>25</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>200</u>	<u>300</u>		
Percentages near 10% or 90%	± 11.8%	± 8.3%	± 5.9%	± 4.8%	± 4.2%	± 3.4%		
Percentages near 20% or 80%	± 15.7%	± 11.1%	± 7.8%	± 6.4%	± 5.5%	± 4.5%		
Percentages near 30% or 70%	± 18.0%	± 12.7%	± 9.0%	± 7.3%	\pm 6.4%	± 5.2%		
Percentages near 40% or 60%	± 19.2%	± 13.6%	± 9.6%	± 7.8%	± 6.8%	± 5.5%		
Percentages near 50%	\pm 19.6%	± 13.9%	± 9.8%	± 8.0%	\pm 6.9%	± 5.7%		

For example, suppose a reported percentage is 80% in a sample size of 150, then one could expect that 95% of the time that a random sample of the same size and collected the same way would include the true population percentage within the range of 80% plus or minus 6.4%.

Averages such as grade points also have a 95% confidence interval, however it is not so easily displayed in a table as confidence intervals about a percentage. Suffice it to say that the confidence interval about a score generally increases with smaller sample size.

Responses by Type

Role Type	Total Sent	Completes	Refusals	Undeliverable	Other Non- Responses	Coop Rate
District Attorney	8	2	5	1	0	28.6%
Attorney For Defendant	48	30	14	4	0	68.2%
Attorney for Plaintiff	59	38	18	3	0	67.9%
Unknown/Other Attorney Type	12	5	6	1	0	45.5%
Sub Total:	127	75	43	9	0	63.6%
Defendant	100	13	68	19	0	16.0%
Plaintiff	100	25	54	19	2	31.6%
Law Enforcement	18	2	9	7	0	18.2%
Employee	6	3	2	1	0	60.0%
Other	15	1	7	7	0	12.5%
Parole Officers	5	0	0	5	0	0.0%
Sub Total:	244	44	140	58	2	23.7%
Grand Total:	371	119	183	67	2	39.1%

-	
S	Keeping in mind your responses to each of the previous questions, how strongly do you recommend that Judge [Name] be retained in office, or no retained in office?
Į	Strongly recommend he be retained in office
	And why do you think that way about retaining or not retaining Jucanne?

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

Commission on Judicial Performance

Attorney Questionnaire

Evaluation of [Name of Judge]

Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the judge on the following. (If you feel that you don not have experience with the judge in a specific area, or just don't know, please circle the number corresponding to "Don't Know/Not Applicable"—DK/NS).

	1						DK
<u>1.</u>	Case Management:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Promptly making rulings during trial.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Beginning court on time.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Allotting appropriate amount of time for cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Keeping cases moving according to schedule.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	[Civil only] ¹ Assisting parties to reach agreement.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Promptly ruling on pre-trial motions.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	Promptly issuing a decision on the case after trial.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Setting reasonable schedules for cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9
							DK
2.	Application and Knowledge of Law:	Α	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Basing decisions on evidence and arguments.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Giving reasons for rulings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	[Civil only] ¹ Issuing written findings of fact and law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Willing to reconsider error in fact or law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	Having knowledge of relevant substantive law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Having knowledge of rules of procedure						
	and evidence.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	[Criminal only] ² Issuing consistent sentences when						
	the circumstances are similar.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Willing to make decisions without regard						
	to possible criticism.	4	3	2	1	0	9
j.	Making decisions without fear of being appealed.	4	3	2	1	0	9

¹ Please answer questions 1e & 2c only if you have observed the judge in a civil case.

² Please answer question 2h only if you have observed the judge in a criminal case.

<u>3. (</u>	Communications:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
a.	Speaking clearly.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Makings sure all participants understand						
	the proceedings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Using understandable language.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Providing written communications that are						
	clear, thorough and well reasoned.	4	3	2	1	0	9
							DK
4. I	Demeanor:	Α	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Giving proceedings a sense of dignity.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Treating everyone involved politely.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Having empathy with the parties involved.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Treating participants with respect.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	Presenting a neutral presence on the bench.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Being consistent in [his/her] behavior	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral						
	manner.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	Giving all participants an opportunity to be heard.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Consistently applying laws and rules.	4	3	2	1	0	9
j.	Not engaging in ex parte communications	4	3	2	1	0	9
k.	Treating pro se parties fairly.	4	3	2	1	0	9
							DK
<u>5. I</u>	Diligence:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Making tough decisions when necessary.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Using good judgment in application of relevant		•	•		0	0
	law and rules.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Doing the necessary "homework" and being	4	3	2	1	0	0
4	prepared for [his/her] cases.	4	3	4	1	U	9
d.	Being willing to handle cases on the docket even when they are complicated and time consuming.	4	3	2	1	0	9
	Providing prompt access to the court						
e.	in emergency matters.	4	3	2	1	0	9

	Bias toward	Completely	Bias toward
	Defense	Neutral	Prosecution
6.	appropriate number	On the scale below, please indic how biased you think Judge [Nane] cution. If you feel Judge [Name]	<i>ame]</i> is toward the

7.	[Criminal cases only] ¹ On the scale below, please indicate by circling the
	appropriate number how lenient or how harsh you think the sentence
	generally handed down by Judge [Name] are. If you feel Judge [Name]
	generally hands down appropriate sentences, circle "0."

	tences Light				ppropria Sentence				Senten Too Ha	
◆ 5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5

8.	If an associate were assigned to Judge [Name] in a case and asked you for
	advice, what would you say?

[Continued on Back Page]

¹ Please answer questions 6 & 7 only if you have observed the judge in a criminal case.

Keeping in mind your responses to each of the previous questions, how strongly do you recommend that Judge [Name] be retained in office, or not retained in office?	7
Strongly recommend [he/she] be retained in office	5 4 3 2 1
And why do you think that way about retaining or not retaining Judge [Name]?	- - -

Thank you for you time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

Commission on Judicial Performance

Evaluation of [Name of Judge]

Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the judge on the following. (If you feel that you don't have experience with the judge in a specific area, or just don't know, please circle the number corresponding to "Don't Know/Not Applicable"—DK/NA).

1. Demeanor:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
a. Giving court proceedings a sense of dignity.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b. Treating participants in the case politely and with respect.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c. Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d. Having a sense of compassion and human understanding for those who appear before [him/her].	4	3	2	1	0	9
2. Fairness:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
a. Giving participants an opportunity to be heard.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b. Treating those involved in the case without bias.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c. Treating fairly people who represent themselves.d. Giving each side enough time to present his	4	3	2	1	0	9
or her case.	4	3	2	1	0	9
3. Communications:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
a. Makings sure participants understand the proceedings, and what's going on in the					•	1471
courtroom.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b. Using language that everyone can understand.c. Speaking clearly so everyone in the courtroom	4	3	2	1	0	9
can hear what's being said.	4	3	2	1	0	9

									DK	8.	If a friend of yours was scheduled to appear before Judge [Name] as a
	iligence:			<u>A</u>	В		D	<u></u>	N/A		party in either a civil or criminal case and asked you for advice, what
	Beginning court on tim			4	3	2	1	0	9		would you tell your friend about Judge [Name]?
b. 1	Maintaining appropriate	e control over									
	proceedings.			4	3	2	1	0	9		
	Setting reasonable sche		•	4	3	2	1	0	9		
d. l	Being prepared for [his,	/ her] cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9			- <u></u>
e. I	Managing court procee	dings so that th	nere is								
	little wasted time.			4	3	2	1	0	9		
										0	Wiles 11 - The Third Program (1-2)
									DIC	9.	What would you say are Judge [Name]'s strengths?
F A	1:+:			^	ь	_	Ь	_	DK N/A		
	pplication of Law: Giving reasons for rulin	200		<u>A</u>	<u> </u>	<u>C</u> 2	<u>D</u>	<u> </u>	N/A		
	· ·	0	1.	4	3	2	1	U	9		
b. '	Willing to make decisio		rd to	4	2	_	4	0	0		
	possible outside press		-	4	3	2	1	0	9		
-	Being able to identity a	nd analyze rele	vant facts.	4	3	2	1	0	9		
c.]	being able to identify a	,									
c.]	being able to identity as	•								10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name]'s weaknesses?
	,	·								10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name]'s weaknesses?
c.]6.	[If you were in Ju	udge [Name]'s								10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name]'s weaknesses?
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an	ndge [Name]'s	estion, oth	erwi	se si	kip i	to th	e ne	ext	10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name] s weaknesses?
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the	adge [Name]? nswer this que e scale below, pl	e stion, oth lease indica	<i>erwi</i> te by	ise si circl	kip t ing t	to th he ap	e ne prop	ext priate	10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name]'s weaknesses?
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased	ndge [Name]'s nswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg	estion, oth lease indica e [Name]	<i>erwi</i> te by is tov	ise si circl vard	kip t ing t the c	to th he ap defen	e ne prop se oi	ext oriate r the	10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name]'s weaknesses?
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you	ndge [Name]? Inswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na	estion, oth lease indica ge [Name] ame] is con	<i>erwi</i> te by is tov	ise si circl vard	kip t ing t the c	to the apple defended the second	e ne prop se oi ircle	ext priate r the "0."	10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name] s weaknesses?
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward	ndge [Name]'s nswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na Com	estion, oth lease indica re [Name] ame] is con apletely	<i>erwi</i> te by is tov	ise si circl vard	kip t ing t the c	to the apple defended to the d	e ne oprop se on ircle s tow	ext priate r the "0."	10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name] s weaknesses?
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you	ndge [Name]'s nswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na Com	estion, oth lease indica ge [Name] ame] is con	<i>erwi</i> te by is tov	ise si circl vard	kip t ing t the c	to the apple defended to the d	e ne prop se oi ircle	ext priate r the "0."		
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward	ndge [Name]'s nswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na Com	estion, oth lease indica re [Name] ame] is con apletely	<i>erwi</i> te by is tov	ise sa circle vard ely ur	kip t ing t the c	to the apple defended to the d	e ne oprop se on ircle s tow secu	ext priate r the "0."	10.	Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense	ndge [Name]'s nswer this que escale below, ple you think Judge feel Judge [Na	estion, oth lease indica e [Name] ame] is con opletely utral	te by is tov	ise sa circle vard ely ur	kip ting ti the canbias	to the apple defended to the d	e ne oprop se on ircle s tow secu	oriate or the "0." rard tion		
6.	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense	adge [Name]'s aswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na Com Ne	estion, oth lease indica e [Name] ame] is con apletely utral 0 1	te by is townplete	ise si circl ward ely ur	the conbias	to the apple defended, considerated the second seco	pproperse or ircle	ext oriate r the "0." rard tion		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense 4 5 4 3	adge [Name]'s aswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na Com Ne 2 1	estion, other lease indicate [Name] is conspletely utral	te by is town plete	ise si circl ward ely un	ing tithe conbias	to the apple defended, constant defended, constant defended by the second defended by the s	e ne oprop ise or ircle s tow secu	oriate or the "0." rard tion 5		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
6.	[If you were in Ju or cases please and question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense 4 3 [If you were in Ju or cases please and pleas	adge [Name]'s aswer this que e scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na Com Ne 2 1 adge [Name]'s aswer this que	estion, other lease indicate [Name] is considered by the constant of the const	te by is town plete	ise sicircle vard ely un	the conbias 3	to the apple defended, comments of the control of t	e ne opropose on ircle s tow secu	ext priate or the "0." rard tion 5		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
6.	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense 4 3 [If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the	adge [Name]'s scale below, pl you think Judg feel Judge [Na Com Ne 2 1 adge [Name]'s aswer this que e scale below, pl	estion, other lease indicate [Name] is completely utral 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	te by is town plete	circle vard ely un	the conbias 3 g a cokip to the constraint of t	to the apple defended, comments of the apple defended by the apple	e ne opropose or	ext oriate or the "0." rard tion 5 case ext oriate		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
6.	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense [If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how lenient	e scale below, ple you think Judge feel Judge [Name] 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	estion, other lease indicate [Name] is completely utral	te by is town plete 2 m diverwing te by the series are series.	circle vard ely un	ing the combines 3 g a coking the combines	to the apple of th	properties of the properties o	ext oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate anded		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
6.	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense 4 3 [If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the	adge [Name]'s aswer this que e scale below, ple you think Judge feel Judge [Name]'s adge [Name]'s aswer this que e scale below, ple tor how harsh to me] are. If you to the scale below is the scale below in the scale below is the scale below in the scale below	estion, other lease indicate [Name] is completely utral	te by is town plete 2 m diverwing te by the series are series.	circle vard ely un	ing the combines 3 g a coking the combines	to the apple of th	properties of the properties o	ext oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate oriate anded		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
6.	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense 4	cadge [Name]'s case this que escale below, ple you think Judge feel Judge [Name]'s case [Name]'s case below, ple tor how harsh your are. If you es, circle "0."	estion, other lease indicate [Name] is completely utral	te by is town plete 2 m diverwing te by the series are series.	circle vard ely un	ing the combines 3 g a coking the combines	he appled the appled to the ap	e ne opropose on ircle stown secu	rate oriate		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge
6.	[If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how biased prosecution. If you Bias toward Defense 4 5 4 3 [If you were in Ju or cases please an question.] On the number how lenient down by Judge [Nan	cadge [Name]'s aswer this que escale below, ple you think Judge feel Judge [Name]'s aswer this que escale below, ple tor how harsh your are. If you es, circle "0."	estion, other lease indicate [Name] is completely utral	te by is town plete 2 m diverwing te by the series are series.	circle vard ely un	ing the combines 3 g a coking the combines	he apdefended, combined by the appendix of the	properties of the properties o	rate oriate anded down es		Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge