

Commission on Judicial Performance

The Honorable Morris B. Hoffman

2006 Judicial Performance Survey 2nd Judicial District





April 26, 2006

The Honorable Morris B. Hoffman City & County Bldg. 1437 Bannock St., Room 256 Denver, CO 80202

Dear Judge Hoffman:

Every two years the Colorado Judicial Performance Commission conducts a survey of people who have been in the courtroom of judges who face a retention vote in the next general election. The 2006 survey was conducted by Talmey-Drake Research & Strategy, Inc., who attempted to contact over 75,000 people who had been in these courtrooms in one capacity or another. Over 21,000 people responded—4,600 to the attorney survey and 17,000 to the non-attorney survey.

This report contains the results of those who were in your courtroom and who responded to the survey. In addition to this introduction, the report is divided into four main sections:

- Attorney Results & Comments: This section contains graphs displaying the average grade you received overall (the combined average from questions 1a through 5e), each section and each question. Following the graphs, are a series of tables showing the percentage distribution of responses to each question. Attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. These comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the attorney questionnaire is at the back of this report.
- Non-attorney Results & Comments: Similar to the Attorney section, this portion of the report contains graphs (again including the overall average of questions 1a through 5c on the non-attorney questionnaire), the percentage distribution of responses by non-attorneys to each substantive question in the survey. The non-attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. Again, these comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the non-attorney questionnaire is also at the back of this report.
- **Methodology:** The third section of the report discusses the methodology of the survey.
- **Questionnaires:** And the final section provides copies of the questionnaires that were used.



April, 26 2006 The Honorable Morris B. Hoffman Page 2





If you have any questions about the methodology and how the survey was conducted, please feel free to contact me at 303-443-5300, and for any other questions you might have about the survey please call the Director of the Colorado Judicial Performance Program, Jane Howell, at 303-837-3665.


Best regards,


Paul A. Talmey President


enc:


























Morris B. Hoffman Attorney Survey

1a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Promptly making rulings during trial?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	86%	59%	56%
В	5%	20%	21%
C		5%	5%
D		1%	1%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	9%	14%	17%
Average	4.0	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	А	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

1b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Beginning court on time?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	61%	56%
В	27%	26%	28%
C		7%	9%
D		2%	3%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade		3%	2%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

1c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Allotting appropriate amount of time for cases?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	51%	51%
В	. 18%	28%	29%
C	9%	12%	11%
D		4%	3%
Fail	5%	2%	2%
No Grade	5%	4%	4%
Average	3.4	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

1d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Keeping cases moving according to schedule?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	51%	53%
В	32%	30%	31%
C		9%	9%
D		3%	3%
Fail	5%	2%	2%
No Grade		4%	3%
Average	3.5	3.3	3.3
Average	3.0	3.3	ა.ა
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

1e. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "[Civil only] Assisting parties to reach agreement?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	36%	27%	27%
В	18%	20%	18%
C	5%	9%	7%
D	5%	3%	2%
Fail	5%	2%	1%
No Grade	32%	40%	43%
Average	3.1	3.1	3.2
Letter Grade	В	В	В

Attorney Survey

1f. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	65%	63%
В	27%	23%	25%
C		7%	7%
D		3%	2%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade		2%	2%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Morris B. Hoffman Attorney Survey

1g. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Promptly ruling on pre-trial motions?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	52%	46%	44%
В	33%	26%	25%
C	10%	10%	8%
D		3%	2%
Fail		2%	1%
No Grade	5%	13%	19%
Average	3.5	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

1h. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Promptly issuing a decision on the case after trial?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	48%	48%
В	14%	21%	21%
C		6%	5%
D		2%	2%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	23%	21%	24%
Avorago	3.8	3.4	3.5
Average	111 0.0	О.Т	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

1i. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Setting reasonable schedules for cases?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	59%	49%	50%
В	23%	30%	30%
C	9%	11%	9%
D	5%	4%	3%
Fail		2%	1%
No Grade	5%	5%	6%
Average	3.4	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

2a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Basing decisions on evidence and arguments?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	82%	56%	53%
В	9%	25%	27%
C	5%	9%	9%
D	5%	4%	4%
Fail	••	2%	3%
No Grade	••	3%	4%
Average	3.7	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	В	В

Attorney Survey

2b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Giving reasons for rulings?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	60%	57%
В	9%	24%	25%
C	5%	8%	9%
D	9%	3%	4%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade		3%	4%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "[Civil only] Issuing written findings of fact and law?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	48%	37%	28%
В	29%	17%	15%
C	••	6%	6%
D	5%	3%	2%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade	19%	35%	48%
Average	3.5	3.3	3.2
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

2d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Willing to reconsider error in fact or law?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	45%	28%	29%
В	23%	21%	20%
C	••	10%	9%
D	14%	5%	4%
Fail		4%	4%
No Grade	18%	33%	33%
Average	3.2	3.0	3.0
Letter Grade	В	В	В

Attorney Survey

2e. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Having knowledge of relevant substantive law?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	55%	52%
В	23%	28%	30%
C	5%	10%	9%
D		4%	4%
Fail		2%	2%
No Grade		2%	3%
Average	3.7	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	В	В

Attorney Survey

2f. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Having knowledge of rules of procedure and evidence?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	61%	58%
В	27%	26%	27%
C	5%	7%	8%
D		2%	3%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade		2%	3%
Average	3.6	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2g. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	61%	57%
В	27%	23%	27%
C	5%	8%	8%
D		4%	3%
Fail		2%	2%
No Grade		3%	3%
Average	3.6	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2h. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "[Criminal only] Issuing consistent sentences when the circumstances are similar?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	18%	26%	33%
В	12%	16%	20%
C	6%	6%	6%
D	6%	2%	2%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade	59%	49%	37%
Average	3.0	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade		В	В

Attorney Survey

2i. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Willing to make decision without regard to possible criticism?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	55%	53%
В	27%	21%	22%
C	5%	8%	8%
D		3%	3%
Fail		2%	2%
No Grade	5%	12%	12%
Average	3.6	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2j. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Making decisions without fear of being appealed?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	59%	57%	54%
В	32%	20%	22%
C		6%	7%
D		2%	2%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade	9%	13%	14%
	0.7	0.5	0.4
Average	3.7	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Morris B. Hoffman Attorney Survey

3a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Speaking clearly?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	75%	73%
В	23%	19%	20%
C		4%	4%
D		1%	1%
Fail			1%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

Attorney Survey

3b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Making sure all participants understand the proceedings?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	72%	71%
В	23%	20%	20%
C		5%	5%
D		2%	2%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade		2%	1%
Average	3.8	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

3c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Using understandable language?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	75%	74%
В	18%	20%	20%
C	5%	3%	4%
D		1%	1%
Fail			
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-	A-

Attorney Survey

3d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Providing written communications that are clear, thorough and well reasoned?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	43%	55%	55%
В	36%	23%	23%
C	14%	6%	6%
D		3%	3%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	7%	12%	12%
Average	3.3	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

4a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Giving proceedings a sense of dignity?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	91%	73%	69%
В		18%	20%
C	9%	5%	6%
D		2%	2%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

4b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Treating everyone involved politely?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	91%	72%	70%
В	5%	16%	17%
C		5%	6%
D	. 5%	3%	3%
Fail		2%	3%
No Grade	•	1%	1%
A	3.8	3.5	3.5
Average	ა.0	ა.၁	ა.၁
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

4c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Having empathy with the parties involved?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	55%	59%	59%
В	23%	20%	22%
C	14%	10%	9%
D		5%	5%
Fail	5%	2%	3%
No Grade	5%	4%	3%
Average	3.3	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	В	В	В

Attorney Survey

4d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Treating participants with respect?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	71%	69%
В	14%	16%	18%
C	5%	6%	6%
D		3%	3%
Fail	5%	2%	3%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.6	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

4e. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Presenting a neutral presence on the bench?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	66%	63%
В	23%	18%	19%
C		7%	8%
D	5%	5%	5%
Fail	5%	3%	4%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

4f. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Being consistent in [his/her] behavior?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	67%	64%
В	18%	20%	21%
C	. 5%	6%	7%
D	5%	3%	3%
Fail	5%	2%	2%
No Grade	•	3%	2%
Average	3.4	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

4g. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	65%	63%
В	14%	18%	19%
C	5%	8%	8%
D	5%	4%	4%
Fail	5%	3%	3%
No Grade		2%	1%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

4h. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Giving all participants an opportunity to be heard?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	68%	71%	69%
В	27%	18%	19%
C		6%	6%
D	5%	3%	2%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade		1%	1%
A	3.6	3.6	3.5
Average	3.0	ა.0	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

4i. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Consistently applying laws and rules?"

_	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	61%	59%
В	14%	21%	23%
C	5%	8%	8%
D	5%	4%	4%
Fail	5%	2%	2%
No Grade	••	5%	4%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

4j. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Not engaging in ex parte communications?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	82%	66%	63%
В	14%	13%	15%
C		3%	3%
D		1%	1%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	5%	16%	16%
Average	3.9	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

4k. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Treating pro se parties fairly?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	27%	48%	52%
В	14%	11%	13%
C	5%	3%	4%
D		1%	2%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	55%	36%	28%
Average	3.5	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

5a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Making tough decisions when necessary?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	. 59%	57%	52%
В	. 23%	21%	23%
C	. 9%	8%	8%
D	. 5%	3%	3%
Fail	•	2%	2%
No Grade	. 5%	10%	12%
Average	3.4	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

5b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Using good judgment in application of relevant law and rules?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	64%	55%	53%
В	27%	26%	27%
C		9%	9%
D	5%	4%	4%
Fail	5%	3%	3%
No Grade		3%	4%
Average	3.4	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

Attorney Survey

5c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Doing the necessary 'homework' and being prepared for [his/her] cases?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	82%	59%	53%
В	14%	23%	26%
C		8%	9%
D	5%	3%	3%
Fail		1%	2%
No Grade		5%	7%
Average	3.7	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

5d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Being willing to handle cases on the docket even when they are complicated and time consuming?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	73%	58%	53%
В	23%	19%	21%
C		7%	7%
D		2%	2%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	5%	13%	15%
Average	3.8	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

5e. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Providing prompt access to the court in emergency matters?"

	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	36%	43%	41%
В	14%	16%	16%
C	5%	5%	5%
D		2%	1%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	45%	33%	36%
Average	3.6	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

6. How biased do you think Judge Hoffman is towards the defense or the prosecution?

		Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
	5		3%	3%
Toward Prosecution A	4	•	5%	5%
secut	3		8%	7%
d Pro	2		11%	11%
owar	1	25%	17%	18%
_	0 Neutral	25%	46%	47%
se	-1		5%	4%
Defen	-2	50%	3%	2%
Toward Defense	-3		2%	2%
ß	-4	•	1%	1%
	-5			
	Average	-0.8	0.8	0.8

Attorney Survey


7. How lenient or harsh do you think the sentences handed down by Judge Hoffman are?


		Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
_ <u>^</u>	5	•	2%	2%
Harsh Ā	4	•	4%	4%
Ha	3		6%	5%
	2	•	9%	9%
	1	•	16%	15%
	0 Appropriate	40%	48%	55%
	-1		6%	3%
	-2		4%	3%
<u>‡</u>	-3	20%	3%	2%
S Light	-4	•	1%	1%
IJ	-5	40%	1%	1%
	Average	-2.6	0.5	0.5


Attorney Survey


12. Do you recommend that Judge Hoffman be retained in office or not be retained in office?


	Judge Hoffman	District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	84%	78%	77%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	11%	13%	14%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office		4%	4%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	5%	5%	5%























Non Attorney Survey

1a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Giving proceedings a sense of dignity?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	74%	76%	69%
В	21%	16%	20%
C	3%	4%	6%
D		1%	2%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

1b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Treating participants politely and with respect?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	71%	78%	72%
В	21%	14%	17%
C	1%	4%	5%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail	3%	2%	3%
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.6	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

1c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	77%	76%	70%
В	14%	14%	17%
C	4%	4%	5%
D		2%	3%
Fail	1%	3%	3%
No Grade	3%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

1d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Having a sense of compassion and human understanding for those who appear before the judge?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	70%	71%	66%
В	26%	16%	18%
C	1%	4%	6%
D		2%	3%
Fail	3%	4%	5%
No Grade		2%	2%
Average	3.6	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Giving participants an opportunity to be heard?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	79%	77%	71%
В	17%	13%	16%
C	3%	4%	5%
D		2%	3%
Fail	1%	3%	3%
No Grade		2%	2%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	Α-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Treating those involved in the case without bias?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	71%	75%	69%
В	13%	13%	16%
C	4%	3%	5%
D		2%	3%
Fail	1%	4%	4%
No Grade	10%	2%	3%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Treating fairly people who represent themselves?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	44%	47%	49%
В	10%	8%	11%
C		2%	4%
D		1%	2%
Fail	1%	3%	4%
No Grade	44%	38%	30%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.4
Letter Grade	Α-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

2d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Giving each side enough time to present his or her case?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	70%	75%	68%
В	13%	13%	16%
C	1%	4%	5%
D		2%	2%
Fail	1%	3%	3%
No Grade	14%	4%	5%
Average	3.8	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

3a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Making sure participants understand the proceedings, and what's going on in the courtroom?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	. 80%	77%	73%
В	. 17%	14%	16%
C	. 1%	4%	5%
D		2%	2%
Fail	. 1%	1%	2%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

3b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Using language that everyone can understand?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	81%	78%	74%
В	16%	14%	18%
C	3%	4%	5%
D		1%	2%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

3c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Speaking clearly so everyone in the courtroom can hear what's being said?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
A	83%	79%	75%
В	16%	14%	16%
C	1%	4%	5%
D		1%	1%
Fail	••	1%	1%
No Grade		1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Beginning court on time?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	57%	59%	55%
В	28%	26%	26%
C	6%	9%	10%
D	3%	3%	3%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	6%	2%	3%
Average	3.4	3.4	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	B+	В

Non Attorney Survey

4b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	78%	78%	72%
В	17%	16%	18%
C	1%	4%	5%
D		1%	2%
Fail		1%	1%
No Grade	3%	1%	2%
Avorage	3.8	3.7	3.6
Average	3.0	3.1	3.0
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Setting reasonable schedules for cases?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	47%	62%	58%
В	28%	18%	19%
C	• •	6%	6%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	22%	11%	12%
Average	3.5	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4d. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Being prepared for [his/her] cases?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	66%	73%	67%
В	16%	14%	17%
C		4%	5%
D	3%	1%	2%
Fail		2%	2%
No Grade	16%	6%	7%
•	0.7	0.0	0.0
Average	3.7	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

4e. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Managing court proceedings so that there is little wasted time?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	56%	63%	61%
В	31%	23%	23%
C	3%	7%	8%
D	4%	2%	3%
Fail		2%	3%
No Grade	6%	3%	3%
Average	3.5	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

5a. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Giving reasons for rulings?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	60%	67%	62%
В	17%	16%	18%
C	1%	5%	6%
D		2%	3%
Fail	1%	3%	4%
No Grade	20%	7%	7%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

5b. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Willing to make decision without regard to possible outside pressure?"

	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	61%	63%	58%
В	9%	12%	14%
C	3%	4%	5%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail		3%	3%
No Grade	26%	17%	17%
Average	3.8	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

5c. How would you grade Judge Hoffman on "Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts?"

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Α	60%	69%	64%
В	19%	14%	16%
C	1%	4%	5%
D		2%	3%
Fail	1%	3%	4%
No Grade	19%	8%	8%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

Non Attorney Survey

6. How biased do you think Judge Hoffman is towards the defense or the prosecution?

		Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
_4	5		2%	2%
Toward Prosecution 🧸	4		1%	1%
secut	3		1%	2%
d Pro	2		2%	3%
owar	1		4%	5%
_	0 Neutral	76%	83%	78%
Se	-1	4%	3%	3%
Toward Defense	-2	20%	1%	2%
ward	-3		1%	1%
ß	-4		1%	1%
IJ	-5		1%	2%
	Average	-0.4	0.1	0.1

Non Attorney Survey

7. How lenient or harsh do you think the sentences handed down by Judge Hoffman are?

		Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
يم_	5		3%	3%
Harsh Ā	4	5%	2%	2%
Hal	3		2%	2%
	2	ı	2%	3%
	1		3%	4%
	0 Appropriate	68%	75%	71%
	-1	5%	5%	5%
	-2	5%	4%	4%
<u>t</u>	-3	11%	2%	2%
S Light	-4		1%	1%
IJ	-5	5%	1%	2%
	Average	-0.5	0.1	0.1

Non Attorney Survey

12. Do you recommend that Judge Hoffman be retained in office or not be retained in office?

_	Judge Hoffman	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2006
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	92%	86%	82%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	5%	7%	9%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office	2%	2%	3%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	2%	5%	6%



<u>Methodology</u>

Sample:

The Colorado Judicial Department provided Talmey-Drake Research & Strategy. Inc. with all the names and addresses of people from its ICON data base who in the previous 12 months had likely been in each judge's courtroom who was up for retention in November 2006. These names and addresses where then supplemented from the Colorado District Attorney's database (Blackstone system) and the databases of jurors, court employees and sheriff's security.

The data was then combined and cleaned. Where there were more than 400 potential respondents, a random sample was drawn. Included in the data cleaning was the identification of potential respondents in the sample who had appeared in more than two judges' courtrooms. Due to concerns about respondent fatigue, no more than two questionnaires were intended to be sent to any one respondent—though there were a few instances where one respondent did receive more than two questionnaires. Where a person had been in more than two judges' courtrooms, the selection criteria for which judges he or she would be sent questionnaires was generally: first, for the judge in whose courtroom the potential respondent had been in most often, and two, the judge with the smallest sample of the judges in whose courtroom the potential respondent had been in.

Survey:

Starting in October 2005, each person in the sample database was mailed an initial questionnaire and an introductory letter with a postage-paid return envelope. Those who did not respond to the first questionnaire were then sent a sent a second questionnaire and letter, and in some cases reminder postcards. During this process, the sample was augmented for judges with a particularly low number of completed questionnaires.

Questionnaire: The questionnaire used in the 2006 Judicial Performance Survey asked respondents to use a grade of A, B, C, D, or F (Fail) to assess the judge's performance in five different areas. For attorney these areas were Case Management, Application and Knowledge of Law, Communications, Demeanor and Diligence, and for non-attorney respondents the five were Demeanor, Fairness, Communications, Diligence and Application of Law. These scores were then converted to a numerical value where A = 4, B = 3, C =2, D = 1 and Fail = 0. Copies of the questionnaires are included at the end of this report.

Response:

The response rate is calculated as the number of completed questionnaires divided by the number of eligible respondents who actually received a questionnaire. The following table shows the total number of questionnaires mailed, completed, non-responses & refusals, undeliverables and other responses. The table presents the overall response rate as well as the response rate by the different types of respondents.

Attorney Respondents	Total Sent	Completed	Refused/ Nonre- sponse	Unde- livered	<u>Other</u>	Response <u>Rate</u>
District Attorney	684	402	223	56	3	64.3%
Attorney For Defendant	5,159	2,760	1895	490	14	59.3%
Attorney for Plaintiff	1,925	1,023	689	206	7	59.8%
Unknown Attorney Type	963	436	418	107	2	51.1%
Attorney Total	8,731	4,621	3225	859	26	58.7%

Non-Attny Respondents	Total Sent	Completed	Refused/ Nonre- sponse	Unde- livered	<u>Other</u>	Response Rate
Law Enforcement	5,659	1,161	3,276	1,195	27	26.2%
Employee	1,307	600	489	205	13	55.1%
Defendant	31,391	3,658	17,494	10,124	115	17.3%
Plaintiff	7,688	1,449	4,699	1,506	34	23.6%
Juror	16,267	9,482	5,961	795	29	61.4%
Other	4,061	401	2,342	1,281	37	14.6%
Parole Officers	599	323	136	125	15	70.4%
Non-attorney Total	66,972	17,074	34,397	15,231	270	33.0%
Total	75,703	21,695	37,622	16,090	296	36.4%

A similar table showing the response count and cooperation rate for your survey is provided at the end of this methodology section.

Results:

The results of the Survey are in two main sections: Attorney Respondents and Non-attorney Respondents. Within each main section are three subsections graphs displaying average grades for each section and question, the percentage distribution of grades, and respondent comments.

Graphs: The graphs visually display the overall average numerical grades received for all grade questions, each section and each question compared to averages for all district or county judges, and all trial judges for which 2006 surveys were conducted. Questions relating to bias toward the defense or prosecution, sentencing and retention recommendation are also graphed.

Percentage Distribution of Grades: This section shows the percentage of each grade received on each question plus the bias, sentencing and retention questions. An average grade point for each graded question is computed and shown in the results section. A letter grade is also assigned to each grade point according to the following scale.

This section also includes two comparative columns of results: one comparative column shows the results for that question for all county or district judges (as appropriate) surveyed in 2006, along with a second comparative column showing the results for all trial judges in the 2006 survey.

Comments: Each respondent was encouraged to comment on the judge's performance. This section contains those comments.

Sampling Error:

All sample surveys are subject to what is known as sampling error—the extent to which the results of the sample survey may differ from what would be obtained if the entire population being surveyed had been interviewed. The size of the sampling error is almost entirely due to the number of people interviewed for the survey and the variance of responses.

The table below shows the extent of sampling error (95%-confidence interval) for various sizes of random samples and sample percentage results.

	Sample Size									
	<u>25</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>200</u>	<u>300</u>				
Percentages near 10% or 90%	± 11.8%	± 8.3%	± 5.9%	± 4.8%	± 4.2%	± 3.4%				
Percentages near 20% or 80%	± 15.7%	± 11.1%	± 7.8%	± 6.4%	± 5.5%	± 4.5%				
Percentages near 30% or 70%	± 18.0%	± 12.7%	± 9.0%	± 7.3%	\pm 6.4%	± 5.2%				
Percentages near 40% or 60%	± 19.2%	± 13.6%	± 9.6%	± 7.8%	± 6.8%	± 5.5%				
Percentages near 50%	\pm 19.6%	± 13.9%	± 9.8%	± 8.0%	\pm 6.9%	± 5.7%				

For example, suppose a reported percentage is 80% in a sample size of 150, then one could expect that 95% of the time that a random sample of the same size and collected the same way would include the true population percentage within the range of 80% plus or minus 6.4%.

Averages such as grade points also have a 95% confidence interval, however it is not so easily displayed in a table as confidence intervals about a percentage. Suffice it to say that the confidence interval about a score generally increases with smaller sample size.

Responses by Type

	Total				Other Non-	Coop
Role Type	Sent	Completes	Refusals	Undeliverable	Responses	Rate
District Attorney	10	4	5	1	0	44.4%
Attorney For Defendant	17	9	7	1	0	56.3%
Attorney for Plaintiff	15	6	9	0	0	40.0%
Unknown/Other Attorney Type	4	3	1	0	0	75.0%
Sub Total:	46	22	22	2	0	50.0%
Defendant	7	0	3	4	0	0.0%
Plaintiff	7	0	7	0	0	0.0%
Law Enforcement	32	8	22	2	0	26.7%
Employee	2	2	0	0	0	100.0%
Juror	94	53	35	6	0	60.2%
Other	19	6	8	5	0	42.9%
Parole Officers	6	1	5	0	0	16.7%
Sub Total:	167	70	80	17	0	46.7%
Grand Total:	213	92	102	19	0	47.4%

-	
S	Keeping in mind your responses to each of the previous questions, how strongly do you recommend that Judge [Name] be retained in office, or no retained in office?
Į	Strongly recommend he be retained in office
	And why do you think that way about retaining or not retaining Jucanne?

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

Commission on Judicial Performance

Attorney Questionnaire

Evaluation of [Name of Judge]

Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the judge on the following. (If you feel that you don not have experience with the judge in a specific area, or just don't know, please circle the number corresponding to "Don't Know/Not Applicable"—DK/NS).

	1						DK
<u>1.</u>	Case Management:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Promptly making rulings during trial.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Beginning court on time.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Allotting appropriate amount of time for cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Keeping cases moving according to schedule.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	[Civil only] ¹ Assisting parties to reach agreement.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Promptly ruling on pre-trial motions.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	Promptly issuing a decision on the case after trial.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Setting reasonable schedules for cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9
							DK
2.	Application and Knowledge of Law:	Α	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Basing decisions on evidence and arguments.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Giving reasons for rulings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	[Civil only] ¹ Issuing written findings of fact and law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Willing to reconsider error in fact or law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	Having knowledge of relevant substantive law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Having knowledge of rules of procedure						
	and evidence.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	[Criminal only] ² Issuing consistent sentences when						
	the circumstances are similar.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Willing to make decisions without regard						
	to possible criticism.	4	3	2	1	0	9
j.	Making decisions without fear of being appealed.	4	3	2	1	0	9

¹ Please answer questions 1e & 2c only if you have observed the judge in a civil case.

² Please answer question 2h only if you have observed the judge in a criminal case.

. Communications:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
. Speaking clearly.	4	3	2	1	0	9
o. Makings sure all participants understand						
the proceedings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
. Using understandable language.	4	3	2	1	0	9
l. Providing written communications that are						
clear, thorough and well reasoned.	4	3	2	1	0	9
						DK
. Demeanor:	Α	В	С	D	F	N/A
. Giving proceedings a sense of dignity.	4	3	2	1	0	9
o. Treating everyone involved politely.	4	3	2	1	0	9
. Having empathy with the parties involved.	4	3	2	1	0	9
l. Treating participants with respect.	4	3	2	1	0	9
Presenting a neutral presence on the bench.	4	3	2	1	0	9
Being consistent in [his/her] behavior	4	3	2	1	0	9
g. Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral						
manner.	4	3	2	1	0	9
a. Giving all participants an opportunity to be heard.	4	3	2	1	0	9
Consistently applying laws and rules.	4	3	2	1	0	9
Not engaging in <i>ex parte</i> communications	4	3	2	1	0	9
Treating pro se parties fairly.	4	3	2	1	0	9
						DK
. Diligence:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	F	N/A
. Making tough decisions when necessary.	4	3	2	1	0	9
. Using good judgment in application of relevant		•	_		0	
law and rules.	4	3	2	1	0	9
Doing the necessary "homework" and being	4	2	2	1	0	0
prepared for [his/her] cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9
l. Being willing to handle cases on the docket even when they are complicated and time consuming.	4	3	2	1	0	9
. Providing prompt access to the court		3	2			
in emergency matters.	4			1	0	9

	Rias toward	Completely	Rias tow
	unbiased, circle "0."		
	defense or the prosec	ution. If you feel Judge [Name	is completely
	appropriate number l	now biased you think Judge [N	[ame] is toward the
6.		On the scale below, please indic	, 0

Bias toward			Completely				Bias toward			
Defense			Neutral				Prosecution			
← 5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5

[Criminal cases only] ¹ On the scale below, please indicate by circling the
appropriate number how lenient or how harsh you think the sentences
generally handed down by Judge /Name/ are. If you feel Judge /Name/
generally hands down appropriate sentences, circle "0."

	ences Light			A S		Sentences Too Harsh				
◆ 5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5

8.	If an associate were assigned to Judge [Name] in a case and asked you for
	advice, what would you say?

9.	What would you say are Judge [Name]'s strengths?

[Continued on Back Page]

¹ Please answer questions 6 & 7 only if you have observed the judge in a criminal case.

Keeping in mind your responses to each of the previous questions, how strongly do you recommend that Judge [Name] be retained in office, or not retained in office?	7
Strongly recommend [he/she] be retained in office	5 4 3 2 1
And why do you think that way about retaining or not retaining Judge [Name]?	- - -

Thank you for you time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

Commission on Judicial Performance

Evaluation of [Name of Judge]

Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the judge on the following. (If you feel that you don't have experience with the judge in a specific area, or just don't know, please circle the number corresponding to "Don't Know/Not Applicable"—DK/NA).

1. Demeanor:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
a. Giving court proceedings a sense of dignity.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b. Treating participants in the case politely and with respect.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c. Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d. Having a sense of compassion and human understanding for those who appear before [him/her].	4	3	2	1	0	9
2. Fairness:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
a. Giving participants an opportunity to be heard.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b. Treating those involved in the case without bias.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c. Treating fairly people who represent themselves.d. Giving each side enough time to present his	4	3	2	1	0	9
or her case.	4	3	2	1	0	9
3. Communications:	Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A
a. Makings sure participants understand the proceedings, and what's going on in the					•	1471
courtroom.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b. Using language that everyone can understand.c. Speaking clearly so everyone in the courtroom	4	3	2	1	0	9
can hear what's being said.	4	3	2	1	0	9

4.	Diligence:	•					Α	В	С	D	F	DK N/A	8.	If a friend of yours was scheduled to appear before Judge [Name] as a party in either a civil or criminal case and asked you for advice, what
a.	Beginnin		t on tin	ne.			4	3	2	1	0	9		would you tell your friend about Judge /Name/?
b.	Maintain	0			rol over									
	procee		. 1				4	3	2	1	0	9		
c.	Setting r	easona	ble sch	edules	for cases		4	3	2	1	0	9		
d.	Being pr	epared	for /hi.	s/her/c	ases.		4 3	2	1	0	9			
e.	Managin	g court	t proce	edings	so that tl	nere is								
	little w			O			4	3	2	1	0	9		
													9.	What would you say are Judge [Name]'s strengths?
_	A 11 .1	C T						ь	_	_	_	DK		
	Application Giving references			Ince			<u>A</u>	<u> </u>	<u>C</u>	<u>ט</u>	<u>F</u>	N/A		
a.	_			_			4	5		1	U	9		
b.	0		ide pres		iout rega	ru to	4	3	2	1	0	9		
	D. 1				alwaa rala	want for		3	2	1	0	9		
c.	Denig ab	one to id	icitury a	and am	aryze reie	vani iac	15. 4	5		1	U	9		
													10.	And what would you say are Judge [Name]'s weaknesses?
6.	[]fx	zou we	re in I	ndae l	Name]'	e courtr	oom d	nrin	or a	crim	ninal	l case		
0.					this que									
					below, pl									
								is toward the defense or the						
	pros	ecution	ı. If yo	u feel Ju	idge [N a	<i>me]</i> is c	omplet	ely u	nbias	sed,	circle	e "0."		
	Bias toward Completely Defense Neutral				y Bias toward Prosecution									
	5	4	3	2	1	0 1	1 2	2	3		4	5	11.	Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge [Name]?
7.	Π£	7011 W	ro in I	udaa l	Name]'.	0 0011###	oom d		000	orin	inal	1 0000	_	
/ -					this que								_	
					below, pl									
					w harsh									
					. If you									
	appr	opriate	senten	ces, circ	cle "0."									
		ences				opriate					nten			
	Too I	Light			Sen	tences				То	o Ha	rsh		Continued on Rack Page

2

3

2

0

Continued on Back Page