

# Commission on Judicial Performance

#### The Honorable Jose D. L. Marquez

2006 Judicial Performance Survey





April, 26 2006

The Honorable Jose D. L. Marquez Colorado Court of Appeals Colorado State Judicial Bldg. 2 East 14th Ave. Denver, Co. 80203



#### Dear Judge Marquez:

Every two years the Colorado Judicial Performance Commission conducts a survey of attorneys who have had cases with opinions authored or co-authored by those appellate court judges who face a retention vote in the next general election, and a second survey among all the State's judges and justices.


This report contains the results of these two surveys. In addition to this introduction, the report is divided into four main sections:

- Attorney Results & Comments: This section contains graphs displaying the average grade you received overall (the combined average from questions 2a through 2l) Following the graphs, are a series of tables showing the percentage distribution of responses to each question. Attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. These comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the attorney questionnaire is at the back of this report.
- Survey of Judges Results & Comments: Similar to the Attorney section, this portion of the report contains graphs (again including the overall average of questions 1 through 10 on the Survey of Judges questionnaire), the percentage distribution of responses by the trail judges to each substantive question in the survey. The judges were also asked to comment about your performance. Again, these comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the Survey of Judges questionnaire is also at the back of this report.
- **Methodology:** The fourth section of the report discusses the methodology of the survey.
- **Questionnaires:** And the final section provides copies of the questionnaires that were used


If you have any questions about the methodology and how the survey was conducted, please feel free to contact me at 303-443-5300 ext 1, and for any other questions you might have about the survey please call the Director of the Colorado Judicial Performance Program, Jane Howell, at 303-837-3665.

Best regards,


Paul A. Talmey President



Survey of Judges



Survey of Judges



1. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Being fair and impartial toward both sides of the case?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	64%	61%
В	14%	16%
C	3%	3%
D	1%	0%
Fail		0%
No Grade	18%	19%
Avorage	3.7	3.7
Average	3.1	3.1
Letter Grade	A-	A-

### 2. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Writing opinions that are clear?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	54%	61%
В	33%	25%
C	4%	3%
D	1%	0%
Fail		
No Grade	8%	11%
Average	3.5	3.6
Letter Grade	B+	B+

3. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision?"

_	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	56%	64%
В	32%	23%
C	1%	2%
D	1%	1%
Fail		
No Grade	9%	11%
Average	3.6	3.7
Letter Grade	B+	A-

### 4. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Issuing opinions in a timely manner?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	39%	39%
В	25%	25%
C	3%	4%
D	1%	1%
Fail		
No Grade	32%	32%
Average	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+

5. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Making decisions without regard to possible criticism?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	66%	66%
В	16%	14%
C	1%	3%
D	1%	0%
Fail		
No Grade	16%	17%
Average	3.8	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-

6. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Making reasoned decision based upon the law and facts?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	65%	68%
В	23%	18%
C	3%	3%
D	1%	1%
Fail		
No Grade	8%	10%
Average	3.6	3.7
Letter Grade	B+	Α-

7. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided.?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	56%	58%
В	24%	19%
C	3%	3%
D	1%	0%
Fail		0%
No Grade	17%	19%
Average	3.6	3.7
Letter Grade	B+	A-

8. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	68%	64%
В	9%	10%
C	1%	2%
D		0%
Fail		
No Grade	22%	24%
Average	3.9	3.8
Letter Grade	A-	A-


9. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Not engaging in ex parte communications?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	52%	50%
В	8%	8%
C	1%	1%
D		
Fail		
No Grade	40%	41%
Average	3.8	3.8
Latter Grade	Δ-	Α-


#### **Survey of Judges**

### 10. Do you recommend that Judge Marquez be retained in office or not be retained in office?


_	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	92%	90%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	7%	8%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office		1%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	1%	0%



**Attorney Survey** 



**Attorney Survey** 



### 2a. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Being fair and impartial toward both sides of the case?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	50%	61%
В	33%	14%
c	4%	11%
D		3%
Fail		
No Grade	13%	11%
_		a -
Average	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+

### 2b. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Writing opinions that are clear?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	50%	60%
В	25%	23%
C	13%	8%
D	4%	5%
Fail		
No Grade	8%	5%
Average	3.3	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+

# 2c. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	54%	58%
В	17%	22%
C	17%	10%
D	4%	5%
Fail		
No Grade	8%	5%
Average	3.3	3.4
Letter Grade	В	B+

### 2d. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Issuing opinions in a timely manner?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	52%	53%
В	39%	26%
C	4%	10%
D		2%
Fail		1%
No Grade	4%	8%
Average	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+

# 2e. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Allowing parties to present their arguments and answer questions?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	54%	50%
В	17%	11%
C		5%
D		
Fail		
No Grade	29%	34%
Average	3.8	3.7
Letter Grade	Α-	A-

### 2f. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Making decisions without regard to possible criticism?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	46%	47%
В	25%	11%
C		7%
D		2%
Fail		
No Grade	29%	33%
Average	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+

# 2g. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Making reasoned decisions based upon the law and facts?"

_	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	50%	56%
В	38%	20%
C	4%	11%
D		8%
Fail	4%	2%
No Grade	4%	5%
Average	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	. В	В

#### 2h. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	46%	56%
В	17%	18%
C	8%	5%
D	4%	3%
Fail		2%
No Grade	25%	17%
Average	3.4	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+

2i. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status?"

_	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	63%	53%
В	8%	6%
C	4%	4%
D		
Fail		
No Grade	25%	37%
Average	3.8	3.8
Letter Grade	A-	A-

### 2j. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Being courteous toward attorneys?"

	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	50%	55%
В	13%	9%
C		2%
D		
Fail		
No Grade	38%	34%
Average	3.8	3.8
Letter Grade	A-	A-

### 2k. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Not engaging in ex parte communications?"


	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
A	54%	46%
В	4%	2%
C		2%
D		
Fail		
No Grade	42%	50%
Average	3.9	3.9
Letter Grade	A-	A-

### 21. How would you grade Judge Marquez on "Being prepared for oral argument?"

_	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Α	39%	45%
В	22%	9%
C		3%
D		
Fail		
No Grade	39%	42%
Average	3.6	3.7
Letter Grade	B+	A-

### 3. Do you recommend that Judge Marquez be retained in office or not be retained in office?

_	Judge Marquez	Court of Appeals Judges except Judge Marquez
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	65%	80%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	30%	14%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office	5%	3%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office		4%



#### Methodology

#### Sample:

The Colorado Judicial Department provided Talmey-Drake Research & Strategy, Inc. with names and addresses of attorneys who, in the previous 12 months, had a case decided by the Colorado Court of Appeals, of which the decision had been authored or co-authored by one of the six Court of Appeals judges up for a retention vote in 2006. Talmey-Drake Research was also provided with the list of Colorado judges and justices.

Two surveys were conducted, one among attorneys who had opinions authored or co-authored by one of the six Court of Appeals judges facing a retention vote in 2006, and a second survey of all Colorado judges and justices.

**Questionnaire**: Copies of the two questionnaires used are provided at the end of this report. Respondents were asked to use a grade of A, B, C, D, or F (Fail) to assess the judge's performance in a number of different areas. These grades were then converted to a numerical value where A = 4, B = 3, C = 2, D = 1 and Fail = 0.

#### Response:

The response rate is calculated as the number of completed questionnaires divided by the number of eligible respondents who actually received a questionnaire. The following table shows the total number of questionnaires mailed, completed, non-responses & refusals, undeliverables and other responses. The table presents the overall response rate for each survey as well as the response rate for your surveys.

#### **Overall Response Rate**

Respondent Type	Total Sent	Completed	Refused/ Nonre- sponse	Unde- livered	<u>Other</u>	Response <u>Rate</u>
Attorneys	405	160	2	40		43.8%
Judges	266	154		35		66.7%

#### Response Rate for Judge Marquez

Respondent Type	Total Sent	Completed	Refused/ Nonre- sponse	Unde- livered	<u>Other</u>	Response <u>Rate</u>
Attorneys	69	24	1	4		36.9%
Judaes	266	154		35		66.7%

#### Results:

The results of the Survey are in two main sections: Attorney Respondents and Judge Respondents. Within each main section are three subsections: graphs displaying average grades for each section and question, the percentage distribution of grades, and respondent comments.

**Graphs:** The graphs visually display the overall average numerical grades received for all grade questions, each section and each question compared to the combined averages for all the other Court of Appeals judges for which surveys in 2006 were conducted.

**Percentage Distribution of Grades:** This section shows the percentage of each grade received on each question plus the bias, sentencing and retention questions. An average grade point for each graded question is computed and shown in the results section. A letter grade is also assigned to each grade point according to the following scale.

```
A = 4.00 B = 3.00 to 3.33 C = 2.00 to 2.33 D = 1.00 to 1.33

A— = 3.68 to 3.99 B— = 2.67 to 2.99 C— = 1.67 to 1.99 D— = 0.67 to 0.99

B+ = 3.34 to 3.66 C+ = 2.34 to 2.66 D+ = 1.34 to 1.66 Fail = 0.00 to 0.67
```

This section also includes a comparative column of results showing the results for that question for all other Court of Appeals judges for which surveys were conducted in 2006.

**Comments:** Each respondent was encouraged to comment on the judge's performance. This section contains these comments—again divided between results from attorneys and judges.

#### Sampling Error:


All sample surveys are subject to what is known as sampling error—the extent to which the results of the sample survey may differ from what would be obtained if the entire population being surveyed had been interviewed. The size of the sampling error is almost entirely due to the number of people interviewed for the survey and the variance of responses.

The table below shows the extent of sampling error (95%-confidence interval) for various sizes of random samples and sample percentage results.

	Sample Size									
	<u>25</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>200</u>	<u>300</u>				
Percentages near 10% or 90%	± 11.8%	± 8.3%	± 5.9%	± 4.8%	± 4.2%	± 3.4%				
Percentages near 20% or 80%	± 15.7%	± 11.1%	± 7.8%	± 6.4%	± 5.5%	± 4.5%				
Percentages near 30% or 70%	± 18.0%	± 12.7%	± 9.0%	± 7.3%	± 6.4%	± 5.2%				
Percentages near 40% or 60%	± 19.2%	± 13.6%	$\pm$ 9.6%	± 7.8%	± 6.8%	± 5.5%				
Percentages near 50%	± 19.6%	± 13.9%	± 9.8%	± 8.0%	± 6.9%	± 5.7%				

For example, suppose a reported percentage is 80% in a sample size of 150, then one could expect that 95% of the time that a random sample of the same size and collected the same way would include the true population percentage within the range of 80% plus or minus 6.4%.

Averages such as grade points also have a 95% confidence interval, however it is not so easily displayed in a table as confidence intervals about a percentage. Suffice it to say that the confidence interval about a score generally increases with smaller sample size.



#### **Additional Comments**




### Commission on Judicial Performance Appellate Questionnaire

#### Evaluation of [JUDGE NAME]

1. Which of the following types of cases have you appealed in which Judge [Name] authored or co-authored the decision? (Please circle all that apply.)

Civil	1
Criminal	2
Domestic	3
Juvenile	4
Other	5

2. Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the Judge [Name] on the following. If, for a specific question you feel that you do not have enough information to grade Judge [Name], please circle the number indicating "Can't Grade."

							Can't
		Α	В	С	D	F	Grade
a.	Being fair and impartial toward each side of the case.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Writing opinions that are clear.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Issuing opinions in a timely manner.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	Allowing parties to present their arguments and answer questions.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Making decisions without regard to possible criticism.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Making reasoned decisions based upon the law and facts.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status.	4	3	2	1	0	9
j.	Being courteous toward attorneys.	4	3	2	1	0	9
k.	Not engaging in ex parte communications.	4	3	2	1	0	9
1.	Being prepared for oral argument.	4	3	2	1	0	9

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

	nind your responses to each of the previous question ou recommend that Judge [Name] be retained in of in office?
Somewhat red Undecided on	commend [he/she] be retained in office
	ommend [he/she] not be retained in office
And why do : [Name]?	you think that way about retaining or not retaining

Please use back page for any additional comments.

Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Judge</u> <u>Robert M. Russel</u> in the space below. If you would like to make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a								
sheet with additional comments.								

Please mail the completed questionnaire in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Thank you.



### Commission on Judicial Performance

#### **Survey of Colorado Judges**

Please answer the enclosed questions about each of the five Court of Appeals judges who are standing for retention in November 2006. For questions 1 through 10 please grade each of the judges using a grade scale where an "A" indicates you believe the judge or justice is doing excellent on that aspect of his or her job, a "D" indicates that you believe he or she is doing poorly on that aspect of the job and an "F" indicates that you believe the judge or justice is failing at that task. B's and C's indicate that you believe the judge or justice is performing between excellent and poor on the particular task.

If, for a specific question, you feel that you do not have enough information to grade the judge on the task, or for some reason feel that you cannot grade him or her on the item, please circle the number that indicates "no grade."

Thank you.

1.	Being	fair	and	impartial	toward	each	side in	ıa	case.
----	-------	------	-----	-----------	--------	------	---------	----	-------

						No
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

#### 2. Writing opinions that are clear.

	Α	В	С	D	F	No <u>Grade</u>
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4					
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

#### 3. Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision.

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	No <u>Grade</u>
4	3	2	1	O	6
4	3	2	1	O	6
4	3	2	1	0	6
4	3	2	1	0	6
4	3	2	1	0	6
	4 4 4 4	4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3	4     3     2       4     3     2       4     3     2       4     3     2       4     3     2	4     3     2     1       4     3     2     1       4     3     2     1       4     3     2     1       4     3     2     1	A     B     C     D     E       4     3     2     1     0       4     3     2     1     0       4     3     2     1     0       4     3     2     1     0       4     3     2     1     0       4     3     2     1     0

#### 4. Issuing opinions in a timely manner.

	Α	В	С	D	F	No Grade
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4	_			_	6
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

C. Please write comments you would like to make about
Judge Alan M. Loeb in the space below. If you would like to
make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a
sheet with additional comments.
D. Please write comments you would like to make about Judge Jose D. L. Marquez in the space below. If you would like to make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a sheet with additional comments.

**Continued on Back Page** 

	narelli in the space below. If you would like to aments than space allows, feel free to attach a tional comments.
	comments you would like to make about <u>Judg</u> e son in the space below. If you would like to
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a tional comments.
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a
make more con	nments than space allows, feel free to attach a

5. Making decisions without regard to possible criticism.

						No
	<u>A</u>	B	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

6. Making reasoned decisions based upon the law and facts.

	Α	В	С	D	F	No Grade
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

7. Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided.

						No
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	O	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

#### 8. Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status.

						No
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

#### 9. Not engaging in ex parte communications.

	Α	В	С	D	F	No Grade
Judge Russell E. Carparelli	4	3	2	1	$\overline{0}$	6
Judge Janice B. Davidson	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Alan M. Loeb	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert M. Russel	4	3	2	1	0	6

#### 10. Recommend to be retained/not retained in office.

	ıdge <u>arelli</u>	Judge <u>Davidson</u>	Judge <u>Loeb</u>
Strongly recommend to retain	5	5	5
Somewhat recommend to retain	4	4	4
Undecided or don't know enough			
to make recommendation	3	3	3
Somewhat recommend not retain.	2	2	2
Strongly recommend not retain	1	1	1

	ıdge ırquez	Judge <u>Russel</u>
Strongly recommend to retain	5	5
Somewhat recommend to retain	4	4
Undecided or don't know enough		
to make recommendation	3	3
Somewhat recommend not retain.	2	2
Strongly recommend not retain	1	1