

Commission on Judicial Performance

The Honorable Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.

2008 Judicial Performance Survey





April 30, 2008

The Honorable Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr. Colorado Supreme Court Colorado State Judicial Bldg. 2 East 14th Avenue Denver, CO. 80203

Dear Justice Hobbs:

Every two years the Colorado Judicial Performance Commission conducts a survey of attorneys who have had cases with opinions authored or co-authored by those appellate judges and justices standing for retention in the next general election, and a second survey among all the State's district judges, Court of Appeals judges and Supreme Court justices. This report contains the results of these two surveys, and is divided into four main sections:

- Attorney Results & Comments: This section contains graphs displaying the overall average grade you received on questions 2a through 2l, and on each question. Following the graphs, are a series of tables showing the percentage distribution of responses to each question. Attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. These comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the attorney questionnaire is at the back of this report.
- Survey of Judges Results & Comments: Similar to the Attorney section, this portion of the report contains graphs (again including the overall average of questions 1 through 10 on the Survey of Judges questionnaire), the percentage distribution of responses by the trail judges to each substantive question in the survey. The judges were also asked to comment about your performance. Again, these comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the Survey of Judges questionnaire is also at the back of this report.
- **Methodology:** The fourth section of the report discusses the methodology of the survey.
- Questionnaires: And the final section provides copies of the questionnaires that were used.

If you have any questions about the methodology and how the survey was conducted, please feel free to contact me at 303-443-5300 ext 1, and for any other questions you might have about the survey please call the Executive Director of the Colorado Judicial Performance Program, Jane Howell, at 303-837-3665.

Best regards,


Paul A. Talmey President

enc:

Attorney Survey (Sample Size 61)


Attorney Survey

Overall Average Grade and Average by Question



Attorney Survey

Retention Recommendation



Attorney Survey

2a. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Being fair and impartial toward each side of the case?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	62%	58%
В	20%	20%
C	8%	8%
D	2%	5%
Fail	5%	1%
No Grade	3%	8%
		<u>.</u> .
Average	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2b. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Writing opinions that are clear?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
A	57%	49%
В	27%	30%
C	10%	10%
D	5%	5%
Fail	0%	1%
No Grade	2%	5%
Average	3.4	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В

Attorney Survey

2c. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	52%	46%
В	28%	31%
C	8%	11%
D	10%	5%
Fail	0%	3%
No Grade	2%	4%
Average	3.2	3.2
Letter Grade	В	В

Attorney Survey

2d. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Issuing opinions in a timely manner?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	67%	47%
В	25%	34%
C	3%	11%
D	0%	3%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	5%	5%
Average	3.7	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	В

Attorney Survey

2e. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Allowing parties to present their arguments and answer questions?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	67%	46%
В	16%	19%
C	5%	3%
D	2%	3%
Fail	0%	1%
No Grade	10%	28%
Average	3.7	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+

Attorney Survey

2f. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Making decisions without regard to possible criticism?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
A	56%	47%
В	15%	15%
C	8%	6%
D	3%	2%
Fail	2%	2%
No Grade	16%	28%
Average	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+

Attorney Survey

2g. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Making reasoned decisions based upon the law and facts?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	52%	50%
В	15%	24%
C	18%	11%
D	7%	8%
Fail	8%	6%
No Grade	0%	1%
•	0.0	0.4
Average	3.0	3.1
Letter Grade	В	В

Attorney Survey

2h. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	43%	44%
В	13%	23%
C	23%	10%
D	7%	2%
Fail	5%	4%
No Grade	8%	17%
Average	2.9	3.2
Letter Grade	B-	В

Attorney Survey

2i. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	67%	55%
В	8%	10%
C	3%	2%
D	0%	1%
Fail	0%	1%
No Grade	21%	30%
Average	3.8	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-

Attorney Survey

2j. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Being courteous toward attorneys?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	66%	55%
В	26%	14%
C	0%	3%
D	0%	1%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	8%	27%
Average	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-

Attorney Survey

2k. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Not engaging in ex parte communications?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Α	49%	48%
В	8%	4%
C	0%	1%
D	0%	0%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	43%	47%
Average	3.9	3.9
Letter Grade	A-	A-

Attorney Survey

21. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Being prepared for oral argument?"

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
A	67%	47%
В	18%	13%
C	2%	4%
D	0%	2%
Fail	0%	1%
No Grade	13%	33%
Average	3.8	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+

Attorney Survey


4. How strongly do you recommend that Justice Hobbs be retained or not be retained in office?

	Justice Hobbs	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	75%	73%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	16%	16%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office	5%	6%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	4%	6%

District Judge Survey (Sample Size 107)


Survey of Judges

Overall Average Grade and Average by Question



Survey of Judges

Retention Recommendation



Survey of Judges

1. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Being fair and impartial toward each side in a case?"

_	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	71%	54%
В	17%	13%
C	3%	3%
D	1%	0%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	8%	30%
Avorage	3.7	3.7
Average	3.1	5.1
Letter Grade	. A-	A-

Survey of Judges

2. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Writing opinions that are clear?"

	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	51%	46%
В	36%	25%
C	8%	4%
D	2%	1%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	3%	25%
Average	3.4	3.5
Letter Grade	. B+	B+

Survey of Judges

3. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision?"

_	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	62%	51%
В	27%	22%
C	5%	3%
D	1%	0%
Fail	2%	0%
No Grade	3%	24%
Average	3.5	3.6
Letter Grade	B+	B+

Survey of Judges

4. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Issuing opinions in a timely manner?"

_	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	38%	38%
В	25%	18%
C	4%	2%
D	0%	0%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	33%	42%
Average	3.5	3.6
Letter Grade	. B+	B+

Survey of Judges

5. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Making decisions without regard to possible criticism?"

	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
A	75%	60%
В	8%	11%
C	4%	1%
D	1%	0%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	13%	27%
Average	3.8	3.8
Letter Grade	A-	A-

Survey of Judges

6. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Making reasoned decision based upon the law and facts?"

_	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	67%	51%
В	20%	22%
C	9%	3%
D	1%	1%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	4%	23%
Average	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	. B+	B+

Survey of Judges

7. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided?"

	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	59%	50%
В	22%	17%
C	4%	2%
D	2%	1%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	13%	30%
Average	3.6	3.7
Average	5.0	0.1
Letter Grade	. B+	A-

Survey of Judges

8. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status?"

	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	60%	64%
В	9%	6%
C	1%	1%
D	0%	0%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	30%	29%
A	2.0	2.0
Average	3.8	3.9
Letter Grade	. A-	A-

Survey of Judges

9. How would you grade Justice Hobbs on "Not engaging in ex parte communications?"

	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Α	53%	48%
В	5%	4%
C	0%	0%
D	0%	0%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	42%	48%
Average	3.9	3.9
Letter Grade	A-	A-

Survey of Judges

10. How strongly do you recommend that Justice Hobbs be retained or not be retained in office?

_	Justice Hobbs, Jr.	2008 Appellate Judges except Justice Hobbs, Jr.
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	80%	82%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	17%	16%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office	2%	2%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	1%	0%



Methodology

Sample:

The Colorado Judicial Department provided Talmey-Drake Research & Strategy, Inc. with names and addresses of attorneys who had a case decided by the Court of Appeals or Supreme Court, in which the decision had been authored or co-authored by one of the two Supreme Court justices or one of the six Court of Appeals judges standing for retention 2008. Talmey-Drake Research was also provided with the list of Colorado judges and justices.

Two surveys were conducted, one among attorneys who had opinions authored or co-authored by one of the six Court of Appeals judges or two Supreme Court justices facing a retention vote in 2008, and a second survey of Colorado district and appellate judges and justices.

Questionnaire: Copies of the two questionnaires used are provided at the end of this report. Respondents were asked to use a grade of A, B, C, D, or F (Fail) to assess the judge's performance in a number of different areas. These grades were then converted to a numerical value where A = 4, B = 3, C = 2, D = 1 and Fail = 0.

Response:

The response rate is calculated as the number of completed questionnaires divided by the number of eligible respondents who actually received a questionnaire. The following table shows the total number of questionnaires mailed, completed, non-responses & refusals, undeliverables and other responses. The table presents the overall response rate for each survey as well as the response rate for your surveys.

Overall Response Rate

		No				Response
Respondent Type	Total Sent	Response	<u>Undeliverable</u>	<u>Other</u>	Completed	Rate
Attorneys	838	324	139	21	354	50.6%
Judges	178	64	7	0	107	62.6%

Response Rate for Justice Hobbs

		No				Response
Respondent Type	Total Sent	Response	<u>Undeliverable</u>	<u>Other</u>	Completed	Rate
Attorneys	111	40	9	1	61	59.8%
Judges	178	64	7	0	107	62.6%

Results:

The results of the Survey are in two main sections: Attorney Respondents and Judge Respondents. Within each main section are three subsections: graphs displaying average grades for each section and question, the percentage distribution of grades, and respondent comments.

Graphs: The graphs visually display the overall average numerical grades received for all grade questions, each section and each question compared to the combined averages for the Court of Appeals judges and Supreme Court justices about whom surveys in 2008 were conducted.

Percentage Distribution of Grades: This section shows the percentage of each grade received on each question plus the bias, sentencing and retention questions. An average grade point for each graded question is computed and shown in the results section. A letter grade is also assigned to each grade point according to the following scale.

```
A = 4.00
                           B = 3.00 \text{ to } 3.33
                                                       C = 2.00 \text{ to } 2.33
                                                                                   D = 1.00 to 1.33
                                                       C— = 1.67 to 1.99
                                                                                  D - = 0.67 \text{ to } 0.99
A - = 3.68 \text{ to } 3.99
                           B-= 2.67 \text{ to } 2.99
B+ = 3.34 \text{ to } 3.66
                           C+ = 2.34 \text{ to } 2.66
                                                       D+ = 1.34 to 1.66
                                                                                   Fail = 0.00 to 0.67
```

This section also includes a comparative column of results showing the results for that question for the other appellate judges justices about whom surveys were conducted in 2008.

Comments: Each respondent was encouraged to comment on the judge's performance. This section contains these comments – again divided between results from attorneys and judges.

Projectability: Most surveys seen by the public are surveys that are intended to be projectable, that is the results from the sample of people surveyed can be used to estimate a percentage or value of the population sampled with a known probability of error. For example, a pre-election poll of 500 likely Colorado voters is used to estimate the percentage of voters who will vote for Candidate A on election day, plus or minus some number of percentage points. The plus or minus amount is usually what is known as the 95%confidence interval (the known probability of error), or what the media often refers to as the margin-of-error.

> The Colorado Judicial Performance Evaluation Survey is not projectable with a known probability of error because the results are calculated from a selfselecting sample that is self-selecting based on the content and subject matter of the survey. In other words, the potential respondent knows the purpose and content of the survey, and based on that, decides whether to respond to the survey.

While projectability within a known probability of error is a highly desirable attribute of a survey, it is often not feasible to achieve. Commercial market research often uses nonprojectable (and small) samples—the most well known of which are for focus groups. Moreover, the federal courts have long accepted, and do not expect, projectable samples for market confusion surveys used in trademark litigation.

The Judicial Performance Evaluation Survey is a valuable means, perhaps the only practical means, for the Judicial Performance Commissions to have a summary of structured interviews with a number of people who have courtroom familiarity with the judge being evaluated, and who most often—albeit not always—are responding out of a desire to improve the performance of our state's judicial system.



Additional Comments



Commission on Judicial Performance Appellate Questionnaire

Evaluation of JUDGE FULL NAME

1. Which of the following types of cases have you appealed in which Judge [Last Name] authored or co-authored the decision? (Please circle all that apply.)

Civil	1
Criminal	2
Domestic	3
Juvenile	2
Other	

2. Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the Judge *[Last Name]* on the following. If, for a specific question you feel that you do not have enough information to grade Judge *[Last Name]*, please circle the number indicating "Can't Grade."

		Α	В	С	D	F	Can't Grade
a.	Being fair and impartial toward each side of the case.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Writing opinions that are clear.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Issuing opinions in a timely manner.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	Allowing parties to present their arguments and answer questions.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Making decisions without regard to possible criticism.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Making reasoned decisions based upon the law and facts.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status.	4	3	2	1	0	9
j.	Being courteous toward attorneys.	4	3	2	1	0	9
k.	Not engaging in ex parte communications.	4	3	2	1	0	9
1.	Being prepared for oral argument.	4	3	2	1	0	9

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

strongly	in mind your responses to each of the previous question do you recommend that Judge <i>[Last Name]</i> be retained not retained in office?
Somewh: Undecide	recommend he/she be retained in office
	recommend he/she not be retained in office
And why [Last N	do you think that way about retaining or not retaining J
-	

Please use back page for any additional comments.

•	Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Judge</u> <u>JoAnn L. Vogt</u> in the space below. If you would like to make
	more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a sheet with additional comments.

Please mail the completed questionnaire in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Thank you.



Commission on Judicial Performance

Survey of District Judges Regarding Appellate Judges

Please answer the enclosed questions about each of the two Supreme Court justices and the eight Court of Appeals judges who are standing for retention in November 2008. For questions 1 through 9 please grade each of the judges using a grade scale where an "A" indicates you believe the judge or justice is doing excellent on that aspect of his or her job, a "D" indicates that you believe he or she is doing poorly on that aspect of the job and an "F" indicates that you believe the judge or justice is failing at that task. B's and C's indicate that you believe the judge or justice is performing between excellent and poor on the particular task.

If, for a specific question, you feel that you do not have enough information to grade the judge on the task, or for some reason feel that you cannot grade him or her on the item, please circle the number that indicates "no grade."

Thank you.

1.	Being	fair	and	impartial	toward	each	side	in	a c	ase.

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u> .	No <u>Grade</u>
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6

to make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a sheet with additional comments.

H. Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Judge</u> <u>Sandra I. Rothenberg</u> in the space below. If you would like

2. Writing opinions that are clear.

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u> 9	<u>Grade</u>
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6

No

•	•	u would like to ma Tree to attach a she
ional comments.	•	

Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Judge</u>

Continued on Back Page

Page 2

_	ttach a sheet with additional comments.
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
	Please write comments you would like to make about udge Gilbert Roman in the space below. If you would
li	ke to make more comments than space allows, feel free ttach a sheet with additional comments.
_	
_	
_	

3. Writing opinions that adequately explain the basis of the Court's decision.

						No
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6

4. Issuing opinions in a timely manner.

						No	
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u> 9	<u>Grade</u>	
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6	
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6	

5.	Making	decisions	without reg	ard to	possible	criticism.
----	--------	-----------	-------------	--------	----------	------------

						No
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6

6. Making reasoned decisions based upon the law and facts.

						INO
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6

Nο

D.	Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Judge</u>
	David M. Furman in the space below. If you would like to
	make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a
	sheet with additional comments.

E. Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Judge</u>
<u>Robert D. Hawthorne</u> in the space below. If you would like to make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a sheet with additional comments.

-	

В.	Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Justice Gregory J. Hobbs</u> in the space below. If you would like to make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a sheet with additional comments.
С.	Please write comments you would like to make about <u>Judge Steven L. Bernard</u> in the space below. If you would like to make more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a sheet with additional comments.

7. Refraining from reaching issues that need not be decided.

						No
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Grade</u>
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6

8. Treating parties equally regardless of race, sex or economic status.

	A	<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>	D	<u>F</u>	No <u>Grade</u>
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6

9. Not engaging in ex parte communications.

	^	D	C	ь	_	No Grada
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>U</u>	<u> </u>	<u>Grade</u>
Justice Allison H. Eid	4	3	2	1	0	6
Justice Gregory J. Hobbs	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Steven L. Bernard	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge David M. Furman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Robert D. Hawthorne	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Jerry N. Jones	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Gilbert Roman	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge Diana L. Terry	4	3	2	1	0	6
Judge JoAnn L. Vogt	4	3	2	1	0	6

10. Recommend to be retained/not retained in office.

	Justice <u>Eid</u>	Justice <u>Hobbs</u>
Strongly recommend to retain	5	5
Somewhat recommend to retain	4	4
Undecided or don't know enough		
to make recommendation	3	3
Somewhat recommend not retain	2	2
Strongly recommend not retain	1	1

	Judge <u>Bernard</u>	Judge <u>Furman</u>	Judge <u>Hawthorne</u>
Strongly recommend to retain	5	5	5
Somewhat recommend to retain	4	4	4
Undecided or don't know enough			
to make recommendation	3	3	3
Somewhat recommend not retain	2	2	2
Strongly recommend not retain	1	1	1

10. [Continued]

-	Judge <u>Jones</u>	Judge <u>Roman</u>	Judge <u>Rothenberg</u>
Strongly recommend to retain	5	5	5
Somewhat recommend to retain	4	4	4
Undecided or don't know enough			
to make recommendation	3	3	3
Somewhat recommend not retain	2	2	2
Strongly recommend not retain	1	1	1
	Judge <u>Terry</u>	Judge Vogt	
Strongly recommend to retain	5		5
Somewhat recommend to retain	4		4
Undecided or don't know enough			
to make recommendation	3		3

A.	Please write comments you would like to make about Justice					
	Allison H. Eid in the space below. If you would like to make					
	more comments than space allows, feel free to attach a sheet					
	with additional comments.					

Somewhat recommend not retain......

Strongly recommend not retain