

# Commission on Judicial Performance

## David A. Bottger

2008 Judicial Performance Survey





April 30, 2008

The Honorable David A. Bottger Mesa County Justice Center P.O. Box 20,000 Grand Junction, CO. 81502



Dear Judge Bottger:

Every two years the Colorado Judicial Performance Commission conducts a survey of people who have been in the courtroom of judges who face a retention vote in the next general election. The 2008 survey was conducted by Talmey-Drake Research & Strategy, Inc., who attempted to contact over 57,000 people statewide who had been in these courtrooms in one capacity or another. Over 12,400 people responded – 3,084 to the attorney survey and 9,317 to the non-attorney survey.

This report contains the results of those who were in your courtroom and who responded to the survey. In addition to this introduction, the report is divided into five main sections:

- Attorney Results & Comments: This section contains graphs displaying the average grade you received overall (the combined average from questions 1a through 5e), each section and each question. Following the graphs, are a series of tables showing the percentage distribution of responses to each question. Attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. These comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the attorney questionnaire is at the back of this report.
- Appellate Judges & Comments: New to the 2008 Judicial Performance Evaluation is a survey of Court of Appeals judges and Supreme Court justices regarding the overall performance of district judges.
- Non-attorney Results & Comments: Similar to the Attorney section, this portion of the report contains graphs (again including the overall average of questions 1a through 5c on the non-attorney questionnaire), the percentage distribution of responses by non-attorneys to each substantive question in the survey. The non-attorney respondents were also asked to comment about your performance. Again, these comments have been transcribed, and in some instances redacted to eliminate respondent identifying information. A copy of the non-attorney questionnaire is also at the back of this report.
- **Methodology:** The third section of the report discusses the methodology of the survey.
- **Questionnaires:** And the final section provides copies of the questionnaires that were used.

The Honorable David A. Bottger April, 30 2008 Page 2




If you have any questions about the methodology and how the survey was conducted, please feel free to contact me at 303-443-5300 ext 1, and for any other questions you might have about the survey please call the Executive Director of the Colorado Judicial Performance Program, Jane Howell, at 303-837-3665.


Best regards,


Paul A. Talmey President


enc:


# Attorney Survey (Sample Size 32)























#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Promptly making rulings during trial?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	84%	59%	57%
В	9%	17%	18%
C	0%	3%	3%
D	0%	1%	1%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	6%	19%	21%
Average	3.9	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Beginning court on time?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	81%	66%	62%
В	6%	23%	25%
C	3%	5%	7%
D	3%	2%	2%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	6%	3%	2%
Average	3.8	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Allotting appropriate amount of time for cases?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	72%	55%	56%
В	19%	27%	28%
C	3%	9%	8%
D	0%	3%	2%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	6%	5%	4%
Average	3.7	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Keeping cases moving according to schedule?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	69%	58%	58%
В	19%	27%	28%
C	3%	7%	7%
D	3%	3%	2%
Fail	3%	1%	1%
No Grade	3%	4%	4%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1e. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "[Civil only] Assisting parties to reach agreement?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	36%	23%	23%
В	9%	17%	17%
c	18%	10%	8%
D	0%	4%	3%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	36%	44%	47%
Average	3.3	3.0	3.0
Letter Grade		В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

#### 1f. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 81%	64%	66%
В	. 6%	22%	22%
c	6%	8%	7%
D	. 3%	3%	2%
Fail	. 0%	1%	1%
No Grade	. 3%	2%	2%
	0.7	0.5	0.5
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1g. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Promptly ruling on pre-trial motions?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	59%	45%	44%
В	22%	23%	21%
C	6%	9%	8%
D	3%	3%	3%
Fail	0%	2%	1%
No Grade	9%	19%	24%
Average	3.5	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1h. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Promptly issuing a decision on the case after trial?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	59%	48%	48%
В	22%	17%	16%
C	3%	5%	4%
D	0%	2%	1%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	16%	27%	29%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 1i. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Setting reasonable schedules for cases?"

<u>-</u>	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	56%	53%	54%
В	28%	26%	26%
C	6%	9%	8%
D	3%	3%	3%
Fail	3%	2%	2%
No Grade	3%	7%	8%
Average	3.4	3.3	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	В	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Basing decisions on evidence and arguments?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	75%	54%	54%
В	16%	25%	25%
c	6%	9%	9%
D	0%	4%	4%
Fail	0%	3%	3%
No Grade	3%	5%	5%
Avoraga	3.7	3.3	3.3
Average	3.1	ა.ა	ა.ა
Letter Grade	A-	В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Giving reasons for rulings?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 81%	57%	56%
В	13%	25%	25%
C	. 3%	9%	9%
D	. 0%	3%	4%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	. 3%	4%	4%
Average	3.8	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "[Civil only] Issuing written findings of fact and law?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	44%	32%	27%
В	16%	18%	16%
C	4%	7%	6%
D	4%	3%	3%
Fail	0%	1%	2%
No Grade	32%	38%	47%
Average	3.5	3.2	3.2
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Willing to reconsider error in fact or law?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	41%	27%	27%
В	25%	18%	18%
C	6%	9%	9%
D	3%	4%	5%
Fail	0%	4%	4%
No Grade	25%	37%	38%
Average	3.4	3.0	2.9
Letter Grade	B+	В	B-

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2e. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Having knowledge of relevant substantive law?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	78%	55%	54%
В	6%	28%	28%
C	13%	9%	9%
D	0%	3%	4%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	3%	3%	4%
Average	3.7	3.4	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	B+	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2f. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Having knowledge of rules of procedure and evidence?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 81%	63%	60%
В	. 6%	23%	24%
C	9%	7%	8%
D	. 0%	2%	3%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	. 3%	3%	4%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2g. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	75%	58%	58%
В	9%	24%	24%
C	6%	9%	8%
D	3%	3%	4%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	6%	4%	4%
Average	3.7	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

# 2h. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "[Criminal only] Issuing consistent sentences when the circumstances are similar?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	48%	28%	36%
В	12%	16%	18%
C	0%	5%	5%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	0%	2%	1%
No Grade	40%	48%	37%
Average	3.8	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

2i. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Willing to make decision without regard to possible criticism?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 84%	54%	54%
В	. 9%	20%	20%
c	. 3%	7%	7%
D	. 0%	2%	3%
Fail	. 0%	2%	3%
No Grade	. 3%	15%	14%
Average	3.8	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 2j. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Making decisions without fear of being appealed?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	84%	55%	55%
В	9%	19%	20%
C	3%	6%	6%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	3%	17%	16%
Average	3.8	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 3a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Speaking clearly?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	91%	75%	76%
В	. 3%	18%	18%
C	. 3%	4%	4%
D	. 0%	1%	1%
Fail	. 0%	0%	0%
No Grade	. 3%	1%	1%
Average	3.9	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-	A-

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 3b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Making sure all participants understand the proceedings?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	81%	71%	71%
В	13%	20%	21%
C	3%	5%	5%
D	0%	1%	1%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	3%	2%	2%
Average	3.8	3.6	3.6
go	1		
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 3c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Using understandable language?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 84%	75%	76%
В	. 9%	20%	19%
C	. 0%	4%	3%
D	. 3%	1%	1%
Fail	. 0%	0%	0%
No Grade	. 3%	1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-	A-

#### **Attorney Survey**

# 3d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Providing written communications that are clear, thorough and well reasoned?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 88%	46%	46%
В	. 13%	21%	21%
C	. 0%	7%	7%
D	. 0%	4%	4%
Fail	. 0%	2%	2%
No Grade	. 0%	20%	21%
Average	3.9	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	A-	В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Giving proceedings a sense of dignity?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	84%	69%	69%
В	6%	19%	20%
C	6%	6%	6%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	3%	1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Treating everyone involved politely?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	72%	68%	69%
В	22%	16%	17%
C	3%	7%	7%
D	0%	3%	3%
Fail	0%	4%	4%
No Grade	3%	1%	1%
A.v	3.7	3.4	3.4
Average	3.1	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Having empathy with the parties involved?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	63%	55%	55%
В	_ 28%	22%	22%
C	3%	10%	10%
D	. 3%	4%	4%
Fail	0%	4%	4%
No Grade	3%	5%	4%
Avorago	3.5	3.3	3.3
Average	' 0.0	0.0	5.5
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Treating participants with respect?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	78%	67%	68%
В	. 16%	17%	18%
c	. 3%	8%	7%
D	. 0%	4%	3%
Fail	0%	3%	3%
No Grade	. 3%	1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.4	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4e. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Presenting a neutral presence on the bench?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	66%	65%	64%
В	19%	19%	20%
C	9%	8%	8%
D	3%	4%	4%
Fail	0%	4%	4%
No Grade	3%	2%	1%
Average	3.5	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4f. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Being consistent in [his/her] behavior?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	72%	64%	64%
В	19%	20%	21%
C	6%	7%	7%
D	0%	3%	3%
Fail	0%	3%	2%
No Grade	3%	4%	4%
Average	3.7	3.4	3.5
Letter Grade	Α-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

#### 4g. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	72%	65%	64%
В	13%	18%	20%
C	9%	7%	7%
D	3%	3%	4%
Fail	0%	3%	3%
No Grade	3%	3%	2%
Average	3.6	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4h. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Giving all participants an opportunity to be heard?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	84%	70%	70%
В	6%	18%	19%
C	6%	6%	6%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	3%	2%	1%
Average	3.8	3.5	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

#### 4i. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Consistently applying laws and rules?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	81%	59%	59%
В	3%	21%	21%
C	10%	8%	8%
D	0%	3%	3%
Fail	0%	3%	3%
No Grade	6%	6%	6%
Average	3.8	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4j. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Not engaging in ex parte communications?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 84%	67%	64%
В	. 3%	10%	12%
C	. 0%	2%	3%
D	. 0%	1%	1%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	13%	19%	19%
A	4.0	2.7	2.7
Average	4.0	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A	A-	A-

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 4k. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Treating pro se parties fairly?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	71%	47%	51%
В	6%	9%	11%
C	0%	3%	3%
D	0%	1%	1%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	23%	40%	33%
Average	3.9	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 5a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Making tough decisions when necessary?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	78%	55%	53%
В	13%	21%	22%
C	3%	7%	7%
D	0%	3%	3%
Fail	0%	1%	2%
No Grade	6%	12%	13%
Average	3.8	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

# 5b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Using good judgment in application of relevant law and rules?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	78%	53%	54%
В	3%	26%	26%
C	13%	10%	9%
D	3%	4%	4%
Fail	0%	3%	3%
No Grade	3%	4%	4%
Average	3.6	3.3	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	В	В

#### **Attorney Survey**

# 5c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Doing the necessary 'homework' and being prepared for [his/her] cases?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	75%	58%	56%
В	. 9%	24%	24%
C	. 9%	7%	8%
D	. 0%	2%	2%
Fail	0%	2%	2%
No Grade	. 6%	6%	9%
Average	3.7	3.4	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

5d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Being willing to handle cases on the docket even when they are complicated and time consuming?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	, 72%	56%	54%
В	. 9%	18%	18%
C	. 9%	5%	6%
D	. 0%	2%	2%
Fail	. 0%	1%	2%
No Grade	. 9%	17%	19%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade		B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

5e. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Providing prompt access to the court in emergency matters?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	66%	40%	39%
В	3%	13%	14%
C	0%	5%	4%
D	3%	1%	1%
Fail	0%	1%	1%
No Grade	28%	40%	40%
Average	3.8	3.5	3.5
Average		0.0	0.0
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 6. How biased do you think Judge Bottger is towards the defense or the prosecution?

		Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
^	5	0%	2%	3%
Toward Prosecution ⇒	4	0%	3%	4%
osecut	3	0%	6%	7%
ırd Pr	2	0%	9%	10%
Towa	1	29%	13%	14%
	0 Neutral	57%	54%	53%
d)	-1	7%	6%	4%
efens	-2	0%	3%	2%
← Toward Defense	-3	7%	2%	2%
= Tov	-4	0%	2%	1%
V	-5	0%	1%	0%
	Average	0.0	0.4	0.7

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 7. How lenient or harsh do you think the sentences handed down by Judge Bottger are?

		Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
^	5	0%	2%	2%
To Harsh ⇒	4	0%	3%	3%
To Ha	3	0%	4%	4%
-	2	7%	7%	8%
	1	14%	8%	10%
	0 Appropriate	57%	56%	60%
	-1	14%	5%	4%
	-2	0%	5%	3%
Light	-3	0%	5%	3%
← To Light	-4	7%	2%	1%
V	-5	0%	2%	1%
	Average	-0.1	0.1	0.3

#### **Attorney Survey**

## 12. Do you recommend that Judge Bottger be retained in office or not be retained in office?

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	. 87%	77%	77%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	10%	13%	13%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office	. 0%	4%	4%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	3%	6%	6%


# Appellate Judge Survey (Sample Size 20)


### **Appellate Survey**


#### **Overall Performance of Judge Bottger**


_	Judge Bottger	All District <u>Judges</u>
A	60%	28%
В	10%	14%
C	0%	3%
D	5%	0%
Fail	0%	0%
No Grade	25%	55%
Average	3.7	3.5
Letter Grade	. B+	B+


# Non-Attorney Survey (Sample Size 147)























#### **Non-Attorney Survey**

## 1a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Giving proceedings a sense of dignity?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	77%	76%	73%
В	19%	16%	18%
C	3%	3%	4%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	1%	2%
No Grade	0%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

#### **Non-Attorney Survey**

## 1b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Treating participants politely and with respect?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	85%	79%	76%
В	11%	13%	14%
C	2%	4%	4%
D	1%	1%	2%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	0%	1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

#### **Non-Attorney Survey**

#### 1c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Conducting [his/her] courtroom in a neutral manner?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	80%	77%	74%
В	13%	13%	14%
C	4%	4%	5%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	2%	2%	3%
No Grade	1%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

#### **Non-Attorney Survey**

1d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Having a sense of compassion and human understanding for those who appear before the judge?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	77%	71%	69%
В	14%	17%	17%
C	3%	4%	5%
D	2%	2%	2%
Fail	3%	3%	4%
No Grade	1%	3%	3%
Avorage	3.6	3.6	3.5
Average	3.0	3.0	3.3
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

#### **Non-Attorney Survey**

## 2a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Giving participants an opportunity to be heard?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	81%	77%	75%
В	14%	14%	14%
C	1%	4%	4%
D	3%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	1%	2%	2%
Average	3.7	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 2b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Treating those involved in the case without bias?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 80%	75%	73%
В	. 13%	14%	14%
C	. 2%	3%	4%
D	. 1%	2%	2%
Fail	2%	3%	4%
No Grade	. 1%	3%	3%
A. (a. v. a. v. a.	2.7	3.6	3.5
Average	3.7	ა.0	ა.ა
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 2c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Treating fairly people who represent themselves?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	52%	47%	49%
В	8%	8%	9%
C	1%	2%	3%
D	1%	1%	1%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	37%	39%	35%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 2d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Giving each side enough time to present his or her case?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	83%	75%	72%
В	13%	14%	14%
C	1%	3%	4%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	2%	3%
No Grade	3%	5%	5%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.6
Letter Grade	Α-	A-	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

3a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Making sure participants understand the proceedings, and what's going on in the courtroom?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	82%	78%	76%
В	14%	13%	14%
C	2%	4%	5%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	1%	2%
No Grade	1%	2%	2%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.6
, wordgo			
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 3b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Using language that everyone can understand?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	81%	78%	76%
В	16%	16%	16%
C	2%	4%	4%
D	0%	1%	1%
Fail	1%	1%	1%
No Grade	0%	1%	1%
Average	3.7	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-	A-

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 3c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Speaking clearly so everyone in the courtroom can hear what's being said?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	85%	79%	78%
В	11%	14%	14%
C	1%	4%	4%
D	1%	1%	1%
Fail	1%	1%	1%
No Grade	0%	1%	1%
Average	3.8	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-	A-

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 4a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Beginning court on time?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	76%	61%	61%
В	. 19%	24%	24%
c	3%	7%	8%
D	0%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	1%	4%	4%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.4
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 4b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	90%	78%	77%
В	. 7%	15%	15%
c	_ 2%	4%	4%
D	. 0%	1%	1%
Fail	1%	1%	1%
No Grade	. 0%	2%	2%
Average	3.9	3.7	3.7
Letter Grade	A-	A-	A-

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 4c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Setting reasonable schedules for cases?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	70%	64%	62%
В	13%	17%	17%
C	5%	5%	5%
D	0%	1%	1%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	11%	12%	13%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.6
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 4d. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Being prepared for [his/her] cases?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	. 80%	73%	71%
В	. 14%	14%	14%
C	. 1%	3%	4%
D	. 0%	1%	1%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	. 4%	7%	8%
Avorago	3.8	3.7	3.6
Average	. 0.0	0.1	5.0
Letter Grade	A-	A-	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 4e. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Managing court proceedings so that there is little wasted time?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	77%	67%	66%
В	17%	21%	20%
C	3%	6%	7%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail	1%	2%	2%
No Grade	0%	3%	3%
Average	3.7	3.5	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 5a. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Giving reasons for rulings?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
A	71%	67%	65%
В	. 15%	16%	16%
C	. 6%	4%	5%
D	. 1%	2%	2%
Fail	2%	2%	3%
No Grade	. 6%	9%	9%
Average	3.6	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	B+	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 5b. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Willing to make decision without regard to possible outside pressure?"

_	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	71%	61%	59%
В	8%	11%	12%
C	2%	3%	4%
D	1%	2%	2%
Fail	2%	3%	3%
No Grade	17%	20%	20%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	Δ_	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 5c. How would you grade Judge Bottger on "Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts?"

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
Α	76%	68%	66%
В	13%	13%	14%
C	1%	3%	4%
D	3%	2%	3%
Fail	2%	3%	4%
No Grade	5%	10%	10%
Average	3.7	3.6	3.5
Letter Grade	A-	B+	B+

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 6. How biased do you think Judge Bottger is towards the defense or the prosecution?

		Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
^	5	3%	1%	2%
Toward Prosecution ⇒	4	. 0%	1%	1%
rosecu	3	2%	2%	2%
'ard P	2	2%	2%	2%
Tow	1	3%	4%	5%
	0 Neutral	83%	84%	82%
ě	-1	4%	2%	3%
← Toward Defense	-2	. 0%	2%	1%
ward ]	-3	. 1%	1%	1%
T <sub>0</sub>	-4	. 2%	1%	1%
•	-5	. 0%	1%	1%
	Average	0.1	0.1	0.1

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 7. How lenient or harsh do you think the sentences handed down by Judge Bottger are?

		Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2008	All Trial Judges 2008
•	5	1%	2%	2%
To Harsh⇒	4	0%	1%	2%
To H	3	1%	2%	2%
	2	1%	2%	2%
	1	1%	3%	3%
	0 Appropriate	76%	78%	76%
	-1	10%	5%	5%
	-2	3%	4%	4%
Light	-3	4%	2%	2%
← To Light	-4	2%	1%	1%
•	-5	1%	1%	1%
	Average	-0.3	0.0	0.0

### **Non-Attorney Survey**

# 12. Do you recommend that Judge Bottger be retained in office or not be retained in office?

	Judge Bottger	All District Judges 2006	All Trial Judges 2008
Strongly Recommend Retain in office	. 88%	87%	85%
Somewhat Recommend Retain in office	10%	7%	8%
Somewhat Recommend Not Retain in office	0%	2%	2%
Strongly Recommend Not Retain in office	2%	4%	5%



### **Methodology**

#### Sample:

The databases of names and addresses of the people who had likely been in each judge's courtroom were primarily provided by the Colorado Judicial Department, Colorado District Attorneys' Council and the Denver County Courts. These three sources provided name and address data in 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008. Starting in the second quarter of 2007, the data was provided quarterly. Where there were low sample counts, this data was supplemented by contacting district court administrators for additional sample of particular types of potential respondents.

The data was then combined, duplicates removed and addresses corrected — quarterly starting in 2007. Depending on the available number of names and the count of already received surveys for a particular judge, either all or a random sample of names were drawn. Included in the data cleaning was the identification of potential respondents in the sample who had appeared in more than two judges' courtrooms. Due to concerns about respondent fatigue, no more than two questionnaires were intended to be sent to any one respondent—though there were a few instances where one respondent did receive more than two questionnaires. Where a person had been in more than two judges' courtrooms, the selection criteria for which judges he or she would be sent questionnaires was generally: first, for the judge in whose courtroom the potential respondent had been in most often, and two, the judge with the smallest sample of the judges in whose courtroom the potential respondent had appeared.

#### Survey:

Each person whose name was drawn was mailed an initial postcard informing the recipient that he or she would be receiving a questionnaire. Two to three weeks after the post card was mailed, the potential respondent would be sent a personalized introductory letter and a questionnaire with a postage-paid return envelope. Those who did not respond to the first questionnaire were then sent a sent follow-up postcard. If the person did not respond after the follow-up postcard, a second questionnaire and letter were sent and in some instances a second follow-up postcard was sent after the second letter and questionnaire. In order to increase the number of attorney responses, particularly for judges with few attorney responses, telephone interviews were conducted with attorneys who had not responded to the mailed questionnaires. Over 900 interviews were completed by telephone.

**Questionnaire:** The questionnaire used in the 2008 Judicial Performance Survey asked respondents to use a grade of A, B, C, D, or F (Fail) to assess the judge's performance in five different areas. For attorney respondents these areas were Case Management, Application and Knowledge of Law, Communications, Demeanor and Diligence, and for non-attorney respondents the five were Demeanor, Fairness, Communications, Diligence and Application of Law. These scores were then converted to a numerical value where A = 4, B = 3, C = 2, D = 1 and Fail = 0. Copies of the questionnaires are included at the end of this report.

#### Response:

The estimated response rate is calculated as the number of completed questionnaires divided by the number of eligible respondents who actually received a questionnaire. The following table shows the total number of questionnaires mailed, completed, non-responses & refusals, undeliverables and other responses. The table presents the estimated overall response rate as well as the response rate by the different types of respondents. The true response rates are likely considerably higher than shown because of the relatively high percentage of people who were mailed questionnaires about judges who they had not observed in court. This is due, in part, to many cases being disposed of without the parties having appeared in court, as well as in the case of law enforcement, the data includes all those who were subpoenaed for a case, not just those who appeared.

A similar table showing the response counts and estimated cooperation rate for your survey is provided at the end of this methodology section.

	Total Sent	No Response	Undeliverable/ Not Applicable	Other Non- responses	Completes	Cooperation Rate
Attorneys		<u> </u>	••	•	•	
Criminal						
District Attorneys	841	306	93	2	440	58.8%
Defense Attorneys	1,082	341	102	2	637	65.0%
Other Attorneys Crmnl	809	418	27	3	361	46.2%
<u>Civil</u>	003	110	_,	3	301	10.270
Attorneys for Litigants	1,395	312	171	13	899	73.4%
Other Attorneys Civil	598	280	53	2	263	48.3%
Attorneys, Unknown Role	852	289	77	2	484	62.5%
Total Attorneys	5,577	1,946	523	24	3,084	61.0%
Non-attorneys						
Criminal						
Law Enforcement	8,170	5,431	1,417	34	1,288	19.1%
Defendant	13,787	9,091	3,737	25	934	9.3%
Victim	295	222	63	2	8	3.4%
Witness	1,886	1,342	453	5	86	6.0%
Other	5,396	3,532	1,301	43	520	12.7%
<u>Civil</u>	,	,	,			
 Litigant	6,552	4,390	1,109	21	1,032	19.0%
Witness	46	31	5	0	10	24.4%
Other	59	29	15	0	15	34.1%
Non-attnys, Unknown Role	5,065	2,598	1,843	12	612	19.0%
Total Non-attorneys	41,256	26,666	9,943	142	4,505	14.4%
Others						
Appellate Judges	26	6	0	0	20	76.9%
Jurors	10,214	4,940	460	22	4,792	49.1%
Total Other	10,240	4,946	460	22	4,812	49.2%
Total	57,073	33,558	10,926	188	12,401	26.9%

#### Results:

The results of the Survey are in three main sections: Attorney respondents, Appellate Judge respondents and Non-attorney respondents. Within the Attorney and Non-attorney section are subsections of graphs displaying average grades for each section of the questionnaire and each question and tables showing the percentage distribution of grades. The Appellate Judge section is new this year, and shows the percentage distribution of the grades given by the appellate judges on overall performance.

**Graphs:** The graphs visually display the overall average numerical grades received for all grade questions, each section and each question compared to averages for all district judges, and all trial judges for which 2008 surveys were conducted. Questions relating to bias toward the defense or prosecution, sentencing and retention recommendation are also graphed.

**Percentage Distribution of Grades:** This section shows the percentage of each grade received on each question plus the bias, sentencing and retention questions. An average grade point for each graded question is computed and shown in the results section. A letter grade is also assigned to each grade point according to the following scale.

```
A = 4.00
                           B = 3.00 \text{ to } 3.33
                                                        C = 2.00 \text{ to } 2.33
                                                                                    D = 1.00 to 1.33
A - = 3.67 \text{ to } 3.99
                           B-= 2.67 \text{ to } 2.99
                                                        C— = 1.67 to 1.99
                                                                                    D = 0.67 \text{ to } 0.99
B+ = 3.34 \text{ to } 3.66
                           C+ = 2.34 \text{ to } 2.66
                                                        D+ = 1.34 \text{ to } 1.66
                                                                                    Fail = 0.00 to 0.66
```

This section also includes two comparative columns of results: one comparative column shows the results for that question for district judges surveyed in 2008, along with a second comparative column showing the results for all trial judges in the 2008 survey.

**Projectability:** Most surveys seen by the public are surveys that are intended to be projectable, that is the results from the sample of people surveyed can be used to estimate a percentage or value of the population sampled with a known probability of error. For example, a pre-election poll of 500 likely Colorado voters is used to estimate the percentage of voters who will vote for Candidate A on election day, plus or minus some number of percentage points. The plus or minus amount is usually what is known as the 95%confidence interval (the known probability of error), or what the media often refers to as the margin-of-error.


> The Colorado Judicial Performance Evaluation Survey is not projectable with a known probability of error because the results are calculated from a selfselecting sample that is self-selecting based on the content and subject matter of the survey. In other words, the potential respondent knows the purpose and content of the survey, and based on that, decides whether to respond to the survey.

While projectability within a known probability of error is a highly desirable attribute of a survey, it is often not feasible to achieve. Commercial market research often uses nonprojectable (and small) samples—the most well known of which are for focus groups. Moreover, the federal courts have long accepted, and do not expect, projectable samples for market confusion surveys used in trademark litigation. In other words, one can still use the results of the Judicial Performance Evaluation Survey to estimate how everyone who has observed a judge in the courtroom would grade him or her, just not with a known probability of error.

The Judicial Performance Evaluation Survey is a valuable means, perhaps the only practical means, for the Judicial Performance Commissions to have a summary of structured interviews with a number of people who have courtroom familiarity with the judge being evaluated, and who most often—albeit not always—are responding out of a desire to improve the performance of our state's judicial system.

### David A. Bottger Responses by Type

Role Type	Total Sent	No Response	Undeliverable/ Not Applicable	Other Non- Responses	Completes	Coop Rate
Attorneys						
Criminal						
District Attorneys	8	4	1	0	3	42.9%
Defense Attorneys	7	1	0	0	6	85.7%
Other Attorneys Criminal	1	1	0	0	0	0.0%
<u>Civil</u>						
Attorneys for Litigants	15	1	1	1	12	85.7%
Other Attorneys Civil	9	3	2	0	4	57.1%
Attorneys, Unknown Role Type	8	1	0	0	7	87.5%
Total Attorneys	48	11	4	1	32	25.0%
Non-attorneys						
<u>Criminal</u>						
Law Enforcement	111	54	27	0	30	35.7%
Defendant	139	92	40	0	7	7.1%
Witness	8	5	3	0	0	0.0%
Other	116	79	21	1	15	15.8%
<u>Civil</u>						
Litigant	56	38	9	1	8	17.0%
Other	1	0	0	0	1	100.0%
Non-attorneys, Unknown Role Type	5	2	2	0	1	33.3%
Total Non-attorneys	436	270	102	2	62	80.8%
Others						
Appellate Judges	26	6	0	0	20	76.9%
Jurors	141	50	6	0	85	63.0%
Total Others	167	56	6	0	105	34.8%
Grand Total:	651	337	112	3	199	36.9%



Is there	anything else that you would like to say about Judge <b>[Last</b> I
strongly	g in mind your responses to each of the previous questions, how do you recommend that Judge <i>[Last Name]</i> be retained in office?
Strongly Somewl Undecid Somewl	recommend he be retained in office
And wl	ny do you think that way about retaining or not retaining Judg

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

#### **Commission on Judicial Performance Attorney Questionnaire**

#### **Evaluation of** JUDGE [NAME]

Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the judge on the following. (If you feel that you don not have experience with the judge in a specific area, or just don't know, please circle the number corresponding to "Don't Know/Not Applicable"—DK/NS).

	s			,	-)-		DK
1.	Case Management:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Promptly making rulings during trial.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Beginning court on time.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Allotting appropriate amount of time for cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Keeping cases moving according to schedule.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	[Civil only] <sup>1</sup> Assisting parties to reach agreement.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Maintaining appropriate control over proceedings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Promptly ruling on pre-trial motions.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	Promptly issuing a decision on the case after trial.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Setting reasonable schedules for cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9
							DK
2.	Application and Knowledge of Law:	Α	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Basing decisions on evidence and arguments.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Giving reasons for rulings.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	[Civil only] <sup>1</sup> Issuing written findings of fact and law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Willing to reconsider error in fact or law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
e.	Having knowledge of relevant substantive law.	4	3	2	1	0	9
f.	Having knowledge of rules of procedure						
	and evidence.	4	3	2	1	0	9
g.	Being able to identify and analyze relevant facts.	4	3	2	1	0	9
h.	[Criminal only] <sup>2</sup> Issuing consistent sentences when						
	the circumstances are similar.	4	3	2	1	0	9
i.	Willing to make decisions without regard			•			
	to possible criticism.	4	3	2	1	0	9
j.	Making decisions without fear of being appealed.	4	3	2	1	0	9

<sup>1</sup> Please answer questions 1e & 2c only if you have observed the judge in a civil case.

DK

3 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Please answer question 2h only if you have observed the judge in a criminal case.

3.	Communications:	Α	В	С	D	F	N/A				
a.	Speaking clearly.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
b.	Makings sure all participants understand										
	the proceedings.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
c.	Using understandable language.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
d.	Providing written communications that are										
	clear, thorough and well reasoned.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
							DI				
4	D		В	_	_	_	DK				
4. a.	Demeanor: Giving proceedings a sense of dignity.	<u>A</u>	<b>B</b>	<u>C</u> 2	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<b>N/A</b>				
а. b.	Treating everyone involved politely.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
-	Having empathy with the parties involved.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
c. d.		4	3	2	1	0	9				
	Treating participants with respect.	4	3	_	•		9				
e.	Presenting a neutral presence on the bench.		-	2	1	0					
f.	Being consistent in <i>his/her</i> behavior	4	3	2	1	0	9 9				
g.	Conducting <i>his/her</i> courtroom in a neutral manner		3	2	-	1 0					
h.	Giving all participants an opportunity to be heard.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
i.	Consistently applying laws and rules.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
j.	Not engaging in ex parte communications	4	3	2	1	0	9				
k.	Treating pro se parties fairly.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
							DK				
<b>5.</b>	Diligence:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	F	N/A				
a.	Making tough decisions when necessary.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
b.	Using good judgment in application of relevant law and rules.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
c.	Doing the necessary "homework" and being	•		_	•	V					
c.	prepared for <i>his/her</i> cases.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
d.	Being willing to handle cases on the docket even when they are complicated and time consuming.	4	3	2	1	0	9				
e.	Providing prompt access to the court in emergency matters.	4	3	2	1	0	9				

{Question 3d is asked only regarding district judges.}

6. [Criminal cases only] <sup>1</sup> On the scale below, please indicate by circling the appropriate number how biased you think Judge [Last Name] is toward the defense or the prosecution. If you feel Judge [Last Name] is completely unbiased, circle "0."

Bias toward			Completely					Bias toward		
Defense			Neutral					Prosecution		
5 4 3		2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	

7. [Criminal cases only]<sup>1</sup> On the scale below, please indicate by circling the appropriate number how lenient or how harsh you think the sentences generally handed down by Judge [Last Name] are. If you feel Judge [Last Name] generally hands down appropriate sentences, circle "0."

Sentences			Appropriate						Sentences	
Too Light			Sentences						Too Harsh	
<b>◆</b> 5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5

Though your name will never be associated with your answers, because the judge will see a typed transcript of the comments that you and others write, it is important that you do not include information in the comments below that would unintentionally identify you as the author.

for advice, what would you say?	
What would you say are Judge <i>[Last Name]</i> 's strengths	)

#### [Continued on Back Page]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please answer questions 6 & 7 only if you have observed the judge in a criminal case.

Keeping in mind your responses to each of the previous questions, how strongly do you recommend that Judge <i>[Last Name]</i> be retained in office, or not retained in office?	V
Strongly recommend he/she be retained in office	5 4 3 2 1
And why do you think that way about retaining or not retaining Judge [Last Name]?	_
	- - - -

Thank you for you time in completing this questionnaire. Please place it in the self-addressed, postage paid enveloped provided, and place it in the mail. Your participation in this survey is very much appreciated.

#### **Commission on Judicial Performance**

# Evaluation of JUDGE[NAME]

Using a grade scale, where an "A" is excellent along with B, C, D or F for fail, please grade the judge on the following. (If you feel that you don't have experience with the judge in a specific area, or just don't know, please circle the number corresponding to "Don't Know/Not Applicable"—DK/NA).

DK

				_			DIX
<u>1. ]</u>	Demeanor:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	F	N/A
a.	Giving court proceedings a sense of dignity.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Treating participants in the case politely			•			
	and with respect.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Conducting his/her courtroom in a neutral manner.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Having a sense of compassion and human understanding for those who appear						
	before him/her.	4	3	2	1	0	9
							DK
2.	Fairness:	<u>A</u>	В	С	D	<u>F</u>	N/A
a.	Giving participants an opportunity to be heard.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Treating those involved in the case without bias.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Treating fairly people who represent themselves.	4	3	2	1	0	9
d.	Giving each side enough time to present his						
	or her case.	4	3	2	1	0	9
_			_	_	_	_	DK
	Communications:	<u>A</u>	В	<u>C</u>	D	<u> </u>	N/A
a.	Makings sure participants understand the proceedings, and what's going on in the						
	courtroom.	4	3	2	1	0	9
b.	Using language that everyone can understand.	4	3	2	1	0	9
c.	Speaking clearly so everyone in the courtroom						
	can hear what's being said.	4	3	2	1	0	9

											DK	Thou	gh your name will never be associated with your answers, because the judge will
	igence:					<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>N/A</u>		typed transcript of the comments that you and others write, it is important that to not include information in the comments below that would unintentionally
	eginning cou					4	3	2	1	0	9		ify you as the author.
	aintaining ap		te con	trol o	ver		2	2	4	0	0		,,,
	proceedings.		مطبياءم	fo., a		4	3	2 2	1 1	0	9 9	8.	If a friend of yours was scheduled to appear before Judge [Last Name
	etting reason				ases.	4		_	1	~	•		as a party in either a civil or criminal case and asked you for advice, who
<ul><li>Being prepared for his/her of Managing court proceedings</li></ul>				4	3	2	1	0	9		would you tell your friend about Judge [Last Name]?		
			edings	so th	at there is			_					
	little wasted	tıme.				4	3	2	1	0	9		
											DK		
Apr	olication of L	aw:				Α	В	С	D	F	N/A		
	iving reason		ings.			4	3	2	1	0	9		
. W	illing to mak	e decisi	on witl	hout:	regard to							9.	What would you say are Judge [Last Name] s strengths?
	possible out				O	4	3	2	1	0	9	9.	what would you say are judge [Last I valle] s strengths:
В	eing able to i	dentify a	and an	alyze	relevant fact	s. 4	3	2	1	0	9		
	O	,		,									
	number he the prosec circle "0."				ely u	ınbia	sed,	10.	And what would you say are Judge [Last Name] s weaknesses?				
	Bias toward Completely Defense Neutral						Bias toward Prosecution						
	5 4	3	2	1	0 1	2	2	3		4	5		
	cases plea question. number ho down by I down appr Sentences	ase ans On the work lenier Last Na	wer the e scale nt or ho nme] an	below hat the below has the be	Appropriate	e <b>rwise</b> cate by the se	ski circ nter	<i>p to</i> cling t nces g	the and the argener general general Section Se	next ppro ally l erall	priate handed y hands	11. - -	Is there anything else that you would like to say about Judge [Last Name]?
	Too Light				Sentences 				То	о На	rsh		
	5 4	3	2	1	0 1	2	2	3		4	5		Continued on Back Page
													Continued on Dack Page